Octonion-valued forms: cobblestones on the road to $Val^{Spin(9)}$

Normed division algebras

Famously, there are no algebras equipped with a product-compatible norm other then the reals \mathbb{R} , the complex numbers \mathbb{C} , the quaternions \mathbb{H} and the octonions \mathbb{O} .

This classical result of Hurwitz is extremely generic. It has to do with e.g. semisimple Lie algebras, certain Jordan algebras, Hopf fibrations or with compact connected Lie groups acting transitively on a unit **sphere** [Borel, Motgomery & Samelson]:

 $SO(n) = SO(\mathbb{R}^n);$ $U(n), SU(n) \subset SO(\mathbb{C}^n);$ $Sp(n), Sp(n)U(1), Sp(n)Sp(1) \subset SO(\mathbb{H}^n);$ $G_2 \subset SO(\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O}); Spin(7) \subset SO(\mathbb{O}); Spin(9) \subset SO(\mathbb{O}^2).$

Further, this list (almost) agrees with classification of non-symmetric holonomies [Berger, Simons]. Related to the concept of holonomy and also of individual interest are the canonical invariant forms: ω (Kähler), Ω (Kraines), ϕ (associative calibration), Φ (Cayley calibration) and Ψ . They live on \mathbb{C}^n , \mathbb{H}^n , $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O}$, \mathbb{O} and \mathbb{O}^2 , are of degree 2, 4, 3, 4 and 8 and invariant under U(n), Sp(n)Sp(1), G_2 , Spin(7) and Spin(9).

A closer look at \mathbb{O} (and \mathbb{H} too)

The 8-dimensional algebra of **octonions** is just \mathbb{R}^8 , with the standard basis $\{1, e_1, \ldots, e_7\}$, equipped with the involution: $\overline{1} = 1$, $\overline{e_i} = -e_i$, and the algebra product: 1 is the unit, $e_i^2 = -1$, $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$ for $i \neq j$, $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7$ and $\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$, standing for $e_1e_2 = e_4$, $e_2e_4 = e_1$, $e_4e_1 = e_2$, etc. 4567123

The inner product is given by $\langle u, v \rangle = \operatorname{Re}(u\overline{v})$, where $\operatorname{Re}(u) = \frac{1}{2}(u + \overline{u})$. One can check that the induced norm is product-compatible indeed. The subspace of *imaginary* octonions is $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} = \{ u \in \mathbb{O}; \operatorname{Re}(u) = 0 \}$.

The 4-dimensional algebra of **quaternions** can be viewed as the subalgebra of \mathbb{O} spanned by $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_4\}$. Neither \mathbb{H} nor \mathbb{O} is commutative. \mathbb{O} is not even associative (!) but still at least alternative: any subalgebra generated by two elements (in particular \mathbb{H}) is associative.

The Lie groups Spin(9) and Spin(7)

The Lie group Spin(9) is the subgroup of SO(16) generated by

 $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} R_r & R_u \\ R_{\overline{u}} & -R_r \end{pmatrix}; r \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{O}, r^2 + |u|^2 = 1 \right\}, \text{ where } R_u(v) = vu.$ Spin(7) is the stabilizer of (1,0) in Spin(9). It is generated by $\{\operatorname{diag}(-R_u \circ L_u, L_u); u \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O}\}, \text{ where } L_u(v) = uv.$

Over the decades, a variety of integral and algebraic formulas for Ψ has been presented [Brown & Gray, Berger, Abe & Matsubara, Castrillón L'opez et al., Parton & Piccinni]. We give a new explicit formula in terms of octonion-valued forms. Let us denote $\Psi_{40} := ((\overline{dx} \wedge dx) \wedge \overline{dx}) \wedge dx, \quad \Psi_{31} := ((\overline{dy} \wedge dx) \wedge \overline{dx}) \wedge dx,$

This and analogical expressions for Ω , ϕ and Φ can be found in [1].

Let \mathcal{K} denote the family of non-empty compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n . A functional $\mu: \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a **valuation** if

Jan Kotrbatý and Thomas Wannerer

Friedrich Schiller University Jena

Octonion-valued forms

The *real* Kähler 2-form on \mathbb{C}^n is usually given by $\omega = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge \overline{dz_j}$, i.e. in terms of the complex coordinate 1-forms. In other words, it is regarded as a (very special) element of the algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$.

In this manner we define the algebra of **octonion-valued forms** on a vector space V as $\bigwedge_{\mathbb{O}}^{\bullet} V^* := \mathbb{O} \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} V^*$. It is naturally equipped with the involution and the 'wedge' product $u\varphi \wedge v\psi := (uv)\varphi \wedge \psi$. For instance, the octonionic coordinates dx, dy on \mathbb{O}^2 belong to $\bigwedge_{\mathbb{O}}^{\bullet}(\mathbb{O}^2)^*$.

The canonical Spin(9)-invariant 8-form Ψ

 $\Psi_{13} := ((\overline{dx} \wedge dy) \wedge \overline{dy}) \wedge dy, \quad \Psi_{04} := ((\overline{dy} \wedge dy) \wedge \overline{dy}) \wedge dy.$

Theorem [JK]. The (octonion-valued) form

 $\Psi_{40} \wedge \overline{\Psi_{40}} + 4 \Psi_{31} \wedge \overline{\Psi_{31}} - 5 \left(\Psi_{31} \wedge \Psi_{13} + \overline{\Psi_{13}} \wedge \overline{\Psi_{31}} \right) + 4 \Psi_{13} \wedge \overline{\Psi_{13}} + \Psi_{04} \wedge \overline{\Psi_{04}}$ is a non-trivial real multiple of the Spin(9)-invariant 8-form Ψ .

Valuations on convex bodies

 $\mu(K \cup L) = \mu(K) + \mu(L) - \mu(K \cap L)$

whenever $K, L, K \cup L \in \mathcal{K}$. The space of translation-invariant and continuous (w.r.t. the Hausdorff metric) valuations is denoted by Val.

Let G be a compact subgroup of SO(n) and let Val^G denote the subspace of G-invariant elements in Val. Then

Theorem [Alesker]. dim Val^G < ∞ if and only if G acts transitively on the unit sphere S^{n-1} .

 Val^G has been described exhaustively for SO(n), U(n), SU(n), Sp(2)Sp(1), G_2 and Spin(7) [Hadwiger, Alesker, Bernig, Fu, Park, Solanes et al.]. In the other cases, description of Val^G remains an open problem. There is an extremely rich algebraic structure on Val^G [Alesker, Bernig, Fu et al.]. The first task, however, is to get a basis.

Consider the case G = Spin(9) acting on $\mathbb{R}^{16} \cong \mathbb{O}^2$. dim Val^G = 143 [Bernig & Voide]. How to find a basis?

First, any $\mu \in \operatorname{Val}^{Spin(9)}$ is smooth [Alesker], i.e. $\mu(\cdot) = \lambda \operatorname{vol}_{16}(\cdot) + \int_{nc(\cdot)} \tau$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a $\overline{Spin(9)}$ -invariant differential 15-form τ on the sphere bundle $S\mathbb{O}^2$. This transforms the problem to the search for appropriate invariant differential forms.

However, there are more such forms than desired. In fact [Bernig, Bröcker], $\int_{nc(\cdot)} \tau = 0$ precisely when $D\tau = 0$ and $\pi^*\tau = 0$. Here $\pi: S\mathbb{O}^2 \to \mathbb{O}^2$ is the projection. To define the Rumin differential $D\tau$, recall the canonical contact 1-form α on $S\mathbb{O}^2$: $\alpha_{(x,v)}(w) := \langle v, d\pi(w) \rangle$ and that a form is called vertical if it is a multiple of α . Then there is a unique vertical 15-form ξ such that $D\tau := d(\tau + \xi)$ is vertical.

Further, since $\overline{Spin(9)}$ acts transitively on $S\mathbb{O}^2$, any invariant differential form is determined in a point, say (0, (1, 0)), i.e. by a Spin(7)invariant form on the tangent space $T_{(0,(1,0))}S\mathbb{O}^2\cong \mathbb{R}v\oplus T\oplus T$, where $T = \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} \oplus \mathbb{O}$. After factorizing the vertical forms out (for they are vanished by D), all what we need is $[\bigwedge^{15} (T \oplus T)^*]^{Spin(7)}$.

It turns out that this space is built of the same kind of 'building blocks' as the canonical invariant 8-form above!

Theorem [JK, TW]. The exterior derivative of a $\overline{Spin(9)}$ -invariant differential form on $S\mathbb{O}^2$ is obtained applying the anti-derivation property of d together with the following rules:

 $d heta_1 = -\omega_1 \wedge heta_1 - heta_2 \wedge \overline{\omega_2},$ $d\omega_1 = -\omega_1 \wedge \omega_1 - \omega_2 \wedge \overline{\omega_2},$ $d\theta_2 = \theta_1 \wedge \omega_2 - \omega_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \theta_2 \wedge \omega_1, \quad d\omega_2 = \omega_2 \wedge \omega_1.$

Spin(9)-invariant valuations

Spin(7)-invariant forms

Theorem [JK, TW]. The algebra $[\bigwedge^{\bullet}(T \oplus T)^*]^{Spin(7)}$ is generated by 96 (real) elements of $\bigwedge_{\mathbb{O}}^{\bullet}(T \oplus T)^*$. All the generators are composed entirely of the octonionic coordinates $\theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2$ on $T \oplus T$.

In order to compute the Rumin differential, one needs to know the exterior derivative of the respective differential forms of course. Let us regard a Spin(9)-invariant differential form on $S\mathbb{O}^2$ as the formal expression of the corresponding Spin(7)-invariant alternating form on $T \oplus T$ in terms of $\theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2$. Then

^[1] J. Kotrbatý, Octonion-valued forms and the canonical 8-form on Riemannian manifolds with a Spin(9)-structure, available at arXiv:1808.02452.