Reading Fictive Motion Across Languages: Experimental data from a self-paced reading task

Javier Valenzuela & Ana Rojo. University of Murcia (Spain)

Fictive Motion (FM): involves "the conceptualizer's mental tracing along a path for the purpose of computing the configuration or location of the entity expressed in the subject NP" (Matsumoto, 1996: 184)

Matsumoto (1996) : English and Japanese FM Expressions (FMEs)

Similarities:

- The PATH condition: a FME must always include some path-related information
- e.g. *the road runs vs the road runs along the coast
- The MANNER condition: when a FME includes a manner-conflating verb, the manner information must be somehow related to some specific feature of the path
 - e.g. the road zig-zagged/*tip-toed along the valley

Differences:

- In Japanese, there is a distinction between 'travellable' and 'nontravellable' paths
- Some 'non-travellable paths' are not amenable to a Fictive Motion description (e.g. walls.)
- In English, all paths are amenable to fictive motion description

Exp

Cont

Our research

questions

Etc.

- 1. How is the distinction between travellable and nontravellable paths reflected in Spanish?
- 2.Does the Manner condition affect Spanish FM verbs in the same way as English and Japanese?

Experimental paradigm: a self-paced reading task

- Subjects read sentences divided into different periods; to see each period, they had to press the Space Bar
- To ensure correct understanding, every four sentences were followed by a drawing, which could correspond or not to one of the previous sentences.





Experiment 2

- Subjects: 65 Spanish native speakers from the University of Murcia
- Stimuli: 24 Spanish sentences, divided into three syntactic periods, with two types of verbs: Pathrelated manner verbs and Non-Path related manner verbs
 - Path-related manner verbs: reptar (*slither*), culebrear (*snake*), zigzaguear (*zigzag*), deambular (*roam*), vagar (*wander*), precipitarse (*fall*)
- Non-path related manner verbs: deslizarse (*slide*), rodar (*roll*), apresurarse (*hurry*), embalarse (*dash*), trotar (*trol*), arrastrarse (*crawl*)
- Subjects were divided into two groups: one group read an experimental sentence (with a manner verb and a FM subject) and the other group read its "control" counterpart, the same sentence in the real metion" context.

Exp	El sendero reptaba hacia la cima (the path snaked towards the hill)
Con	El vehiculo reptaba hacia la cima (the vehicle snaked towards the hill)
Exp	La autopista rodaba en dirección a Madrid (The highway rolled towards Madrid)
Con	La moto rodaba en dirección a Madrid (The motorbike rolled towards Madrid)

- Results: subjects took longer to read sentences with non-path related manner verbs than with manner related ones (see Figure 3)
- In order to locate the manner features that were harder to relate to the path, non-path related manner verbs were grouped into three different categories: ease of progress, speed and motor pattern. As can be seen in Figure 4, verbs of motor pattern (e.g. trot, crawl) took longer to process than verbs of speed (e.g. hurry, dash) and verbs of 'ease' (e.g. slide and roll).



Non-path related manner verbs also take longer to process, suggesting that Matsumoto's Path and Manner condition are psychologically real.

■ Further research would be needed in other languages to test the universal validity of the conditions and the role of the different manner features in FMEs.

Experiment 1

- > Subjects: 45 Spanish native speakers from the University of Murcia
- > Stimuli: 32 Spanish sentences, divided into four syntactic periods, with two types of subjects:
 - Travellable subjects: carretera (road), camino (path), sendero (track), jardin (garden) pradera (field), valle (valley), bosque (forest), sierra (mountain range).

Non-travellable subjects: pared (wall), muro (wall), alambrada (wire fence), tuberia (pipe) tendedero (clothesline), linea de árboles (line of trees), precipicio (precipice), frontera (frontier)

- Subjects were divided into two groups: one group would read an experimental fictive motion sentence and the other group its 'control' counterpart, i.e. the same sentence in the 'real motion' context
 - Exp
 El valle ascendia lentamente hacia el norte (the valley ascended slowly towards the north)

 Con
 El autobus ascendia lentamente hacia el norte (the bus ascended slowly towards the north)

 Exp
 El muro bajaba por la colina hasta el lago (the wall descended downhill up to the lake)

 Con
 La liebre bajaba por la colina hasta el lago (the wall descended downhill up to the lake)

 Con
 La liebre bajaba por la colina hasta el lago (the mall descended downhill up to the lake)
- Results: subjects took longer to read sentences with non-travellable subjects than with travellable ones (see Figure 1)
- Non-travellable subjects were then grouped into different categories (vertical-2D, lines and surfaces) in order to find differences between the groups: the non-travellable subjects which took longer to process were 'vertical' ones (e.g. wall, wire fence), as can be seen in Figure 2.



Conclusions

■ Spanish FMEs with non-travellable sujects take longer to process than those with travellable entities.

■ Further research would be required to see which types of objects are amenable to a FM description.