

Lifting of nest approximation properties and related principles of local reflexivity

Eve Oja

Conference on NonLinear Functional Analysis
Valencia, October 18, 2017

“Life in Banach spaces with certain approximation properties is much easier.” (Pietsch, History . . . , 2007, p. 287)

Recall: A Banach space X has **AP:** $\exists(S_\nu) \subset \mathcal{F}(X, X)$ such that $S_\nu \rightarrow I_X$ uniformly on compact subsets of X .

X has **λ -BAP:** $\exists(S_\nu)$ as above, and $\|S_\nu\| \leq \lambda \quad \forall \nu$

BAP: λ -BAP ($\exists \lambda$); **MAP=** 1-BAP

\exists basis \Rightarrow BAP; \exists monotone basis \Rightarrow MAP

MAP \Rightarrow BAP \Rightarrow AP in X

Converses do not hold even in $X \subset c_0$:

Johnson, Schechtman 1996, Godefroy, Saphar 1988;

Figiel, Johnson, Pełczyński 2011

AP $\not\Rightarrow$ BAP $\not\Rightarrow$ MAP in X

Problem 1 (goes back to Grothendieck's Memoir 1955) :

Does AP \Rightarrow MAP in X^* ? “AP \Rightarrow MAP problem”

Grothendieck: “Yes” for X reflexive or X^* separable

This mysterious X^* ...

AP $\not\Rightarrow$ BAP $\not\Rightarrow$ MAP in X

Problem 1 (goes back to Grothendieck's Memoir 1955) :

Does AP \Rightarrow MAP in X^* ? “AP \Rightarrow MAP problem”

Grothendieck: “Yes” for X reflexive or X^* separable

This mysterious X^* ...

Some recent variants of (B)AP:

- weaker forms, e.g. **weak MAP** [Lima, Oja; Math. Ann. 2005]
for this direction, see [Lassalle, Oja, Turco; J. Appr. Th. 2016]
- stronger forms = refinements of the classical APs:
APs of pairs [Figiel, Johnson, Pełczyński; Israel J. Math. 2011]
nest APs [Figiel, Johnson; J. Funct. Anal. 2016]

Our topic: **lifting of nest APs** between X and X^*

Classics (Grothendieck, Johnson; Enflo, James, Lindenstrauss):

$X^* - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP} \Rightarrow X - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP} \not\Rightarrow X^* - \text{AP}$

$X^* - \text{MAP} \Leftarrow X - \text{MAP}$ in all equivalent norms

Problem 2: ? \Rightarrow ? What about $X = \ell_1$?

- Pr. 1 “Yes” \Rightarrow Pr. 2 “Yes”

[LO2005]: $X^* - \text{AP} \Leftrightarrow X - \text{weak MAP}$ in all equivalent norms

[Oja2006]: \Updownarrow if X or X^* Asplund

$X^* - \text{MAP} \Leftarrow X - \text{MAP}$ in all equivalent norms

Classics (Grothendieck, Johnson; Enflo, James, Lindenstrauss):

$$X^* - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP} \Rightarrow X - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP} \not\Rightarrow X^* - \text{AP}$$

$$X^* - \text{MAP} \Leftarrow X - \text{MAP} \text{ in all equivalent norms}$$

Problem 2: ? \Rightarrow ? What about $X = \ell_1$?

- **Pr. 1 “Yes” \Rightarrow Pr. 2 “Yes”**

[LO2005]: $X^* - \text{AP} \Leftrightarrow X - \text{weak MAP}$ in all equivalent norms

[Oja2006]: \Updownarrow if X or X^* Asplund

$$X^* - \text{MAP} \Leftarrow X - \text{MAP} \text{ in all equivalent norms}$$

Classics ((Grothendieck), Reinov; many different proofs):

If X or X^* Asplund, then $X^* - \text{AP} \Rightarrow X^* - \text{MAP}$

Problem 3 [LO2005]: Does weak MAP \Rightarrow MAP?

- **Pr. 3 “Yes” \Rightarrow Pr. 1 “Yes”**

Nests

X – Banach space, $\mathcal{N} \subset \{U \subset X : U \text{ – closed subspace}\}$

Recall: \mathcal{N} is a **nest in X** : \mathcal{N} is linearly ordered by “ \subset ”.
(For $U, W \in \mathcal{N}$, one has $U \subset W$ or $W \subset U$.)

Examples

- $\mathcal{N} = \{U\}$
 - $\mathcal{N} = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$, e.g., $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \dots \subset U_n$
 - U with a basis $e_1, e_2, \dots, U_n := \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$
- $\mathcal{N} = \{\{0\}, U, X, U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ or $\mathcal{N} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- Volterra nest \mathcal{V} in $L_p[0, 1]$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\mathcal{V} = \{L_p[0, t] : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$

\mathcal{N} is **complete**: $\{0\}, X \in \mathcal{N}$, and \mathcal{N} is closed under intersections and closures of unions.

Nest approximation properties

Recall: X has $(\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$: $\exists (S_\nu) \subset \mathcal{F}(X, X)$ such that $S_\nu \rightarrow I_X$ uniformly on compact subsets of X (and $\|S_\nu\| \leq \lambda$).

$U \subset X$, U – closed subspace

The pair (X, U) has $(\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$: $S_\nu(U) \subset U$ for all ν .

E.g.: X has AP $\Leftrightarrow (X, X)$ has AP $\Leftrightarrow (X, \{0\})$ has AP

\mathcal{N} – nest in X

The pair (X, \mathcal{N}) has $(\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$: $S_\nu(U) \subset U$ for all ν and $U \in \mathcal{N}$.

E.g.: (X, U) has AP $\Leftrightarrow (X, \mathcal{N})$ has AP, where $\mathcal{N} = \{U\}$

(X, U) has AP $\Rightarrow X, U, X/U$ all have AP.

Problem 4: ? \Leftarrow ?

[FJP2011]: X^* – $\lambda\text{-BAP}$ $\Leftrightarrow (X, U)$ – $\lambda\text{-BAP}$ for all U , $\text{codim } U < \infty$.

[J. A. Erdos; J. London Math. Soc. 1968]:

(H, \mathcal{N}) has AP for all nests \mathcal{N} in a Hilbert space H .

[FJ2016]: For X over \mathbb{C} ,

(X, \mathcal{N}) has AP for all $\mathcal{N} \Leftrightarrow X$ has the **Lidskii trace property**:

If a nuclear op. T on X has absolutely summable eigenvalues λ_n ,
then $\text{trace } T = \sum_n \lambda_n$.

(X, U) has AP for all $U \Rightarrow X$ has the hereditary AP (HAP)

Problem 5 (Johnson, Szankowski; Ann. Math. 2012, Isr. J. Math. 2014): **Does** HAP \Rightarrow Lidskii trace property?

Problem 6: Does (X, U) has AP for all $U \Rightarrow (X, \mathcal{N})$ has AP for all \mathcal{N} ?

- **Pr. 5** “Yes” \Rightarrow **Pr. 6** “Yes”

Lifting “down” classics: $X^* - (\lambda-B)AP \Rightarrow X - (\lambda-B)AP$

General reason: (1) PLR + (2) convex combination argument
(1) PLR \Rightarrow $(\lambda-B)AP$ of X^* is given with conjugate operators (S_ν^*).
(2) Convex combinations of S_ν give $(\lambda-B)AP$ of X . This works for convex APs.

Lifting “down” classics: $X^* - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP} \Rightarrow X - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$

General reason: (1) PLR + (2) convex combination argument
(1) PLR $\Rightarrow (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ of X^* is given with conjugate operators (S_ν^*) .
(2) Convex combinations of S_ν give $(\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ of X . This works for convex APs.

$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, X)$, \mathcal{A} – convex.

- X has $\mathcal{A}\text{-AP}$: $\exists (S_\nu) \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $S_\nu \rightarrow I_X$ uniformly on compact subsets of X , i.e., in τ_c of X .
- X^* has $\mathcal{A}\text{-AP}$ with conjugate operators: $\exists (S_\nu^*) \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $S_\nu^* \rightarrow I_{X^*}$ in τ_c of X^* .

Example: $(\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ of $(X, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{A}\text{-AP}$ with
 $\mathcal{A} = \{S \in \mathcal{F}(X, X) : S(U) \subset U \forall U \in \mathcal{N} \quad (\|S\| \leq \lambda)\}$

(2) $X^* - \mathcal{A}\text{-AP}$ with conjugate op-rs $\Rightarrow X - \mathcal{A}\text{-AP}$ [Lissitsin, Oja; JMAA 2011]

(2) X^* – \mathcal{A} -AP with conjugate operators $\Rightarrow X$ – \mathcal{A} -AP

\mathcal{N} – nest in $X \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\perp := \{U^\perp : U \in \mathcal{N}\}$ – nest in X^*
 $S(U) \subset U \Leftrightarrow S^*(U^\perp) \subset U^\perp$. Hence, in particular,

(2') (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) – $(\lambda$ -B)AP with conjugate op-rs $\Rightarrow (X, \mathcal{N})$ – $(\lambda$ -B)AP

All we need: $(\lambda$ -B)AP of (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) to be given with conjugate op-rs!
In particular, $(\lambda$ -B)AP of (X^*, U^\perp) to be given with conjugate op-rs!
Recall (1): PLR works for $(X^*, \{0\}^\perp) = (X^*, X^*) = (\lambda$ -B)AP of X^* .
Find a “working” PLR (respecting nests) for $(\lambda$ -B)AP of (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) !

(2) $X^* - \mathcal{A}$ -AP with conjugate operators $\Rightarrow X - \mathcal{A}$ -AP

\mathcal{N} – nest in $X \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\perp := \{U^\perp : U \in \mathcal{N}\}$ – nest in X^*
 $S(U) \subset U \Leftrightarrow S^*(U^\perp) \subset U^\perp$. Hence, in particular,

(2') $(X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) - (\lambda\text{-B})$ AP with conjugate op-rs $\Rightarrow (X, \mathcal{N}) - (\lambda\text{-B})$ AP

All we need: $(\lambda\text{-B})$ AP of (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) to be given with conjugate op-rs!
In particular, $(\lambda\text{-B})$ AP of (X^*, U^\perp) to be given with conjugate op-rs!
Recall (1): PLR works for $(X^*, \{0\}^\perp) = (X^*, X^*) = (\lambda\text{-B})$ AP of X^* .
Find a “working” PLR (respecting nests) for $(\lambda\text{-B})$ AP of (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) !

[Oja; Adv. Math. 2014]: PLR respecting subspaces \Rightarrow
 $\Rightarrow (\lambda\text{-B})$ AP (with projections) of (X^*, U^\perp) is always given
with conjugate operators (projections).

• $(X^*, U^\perp) - (\lambda\text{-B})$ AP $\Rightarrow (X, U) - (\lambda\text{-B})$ AP (by (2'))

PLR respecting subspaces [O2014]: X, Y – Banach spaces;
 $U \subset X, V \subset Y$ – closed subspaces. Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(Y^*, X^*)$ satisfy
 $S(V^\perp) \subset U^\perp$. If $F \subset Y^*, \dim F < \infty$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, then
 $\exists T \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ satisfying $T(U) \subset V$ such that

$$1^\circ \quad \left| \|T\| - \|S\| \right| < \varepsilon,$$

$$2^\circ \quad T^*y^* = Sy^*, \quad y^* \in F,$$

$$2^{\circ\circ} \quad \text{ran } T^* = \text{ran } S,$$

$$3^\circ \quad T^{**}x^{**} = S^*x^{**} \text{ whenever } S^*x^{**} \in Y.$$

When $Y = X$ and S is a projection, also T is a projection.

Applying PLR resp. subsp. twice:

- Finite-rank operators/projections between bi-duals, who respect bi-annihilators, are “locally” bi-conjugate to operators/projections, who respect subspaces.

PLR respecting subspaces $\Rightarrow (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ (with projections) of (X^*, U^\perp) is always given with conjugate operators (projections).

• $(X^*, U^\perp) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP} \Rightarrow (X, U) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ (by (2'))

Recall: (2') $(X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ with conj. op-rs $\Rightarrow (X, \mathcal{N}) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$

• $(X^*, U^\perp) - \lambda\text{-BAP}$ with proj. $\Rightarrow (X, U) - (\lambda^2 + 2\lambda)\text{-BAP}$ with proj.

• $(X^{**}, U^{\perp\perp}) - \lambda\text{-BAP}$ with proj. $\Rightarrow (X, U) - \lambda\text{-BAP}$ with proj.

PLR respecting subspaces \Rightarrow $(\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ (with projections) of (X^*, U^\perp) is always given with conjugate operators (projections).

• $(X^*, U^\perp) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP} \Rightarrow (X, U) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ (by (2'))

Recall: (2') $(X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ with conj. op-rs $\Rightarrow (X, \mathcal{N}) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$

• $(X^*, U^\perp) - \lambda\text{-BAP}$ with proj. $\Rightarrow (X, U) - (\lambda^2 + 2\lambda)\text{-BAP}$ with proj.

• $(X^{**}, U^{\perp\perp}) - \lambda\text{-BAP}$ with proj. $\Rightarrow (X, U) - \lambda\text{-BAP}$ with proj.

NoLiFA: [Chávez-Domínguez; arXiv 2017] uses PLR resp. subsp.:

• If X is separable and if (X, U) has the Lipschitz lifting property (i.e. $\exists R \in \mathcal{L}(X, F(X)), \beta R = I_X$ (β - can. quotient map), $R(U) \subset F(U)$), then: $(X, U) - \text{Lipschitz BAP} \Rightarrow (X, U) - \text{BAP}$

[Godefroy, Kalton; Studia Math. 2003]: For arbitrary X :

$X - \text{Lipschitz } \lambda\text{-BAP} \Rightarrow X - \lambda\text{-BAP}$

X, Y – Banach spaces; \mathcal{U} – nest in X , $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}} = \{V_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ – nest in Y .
 $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is **increasing** on \mathcal{U} : if $U \subset W$ and $U \neq W$ in \mathcal{U} , then $V_U \subset V_W$ and $V_U \neq V_W$.

PLR respecting nests [Oja, Veidenberg; J. Funct. Anal. 2017]:

Assume $\{0\} \in \mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\perp}$ is complete. Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(Y^*, X^*)$ satisfy $S(V_U^{\perp}) \subset U^{\perp}$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$. If $K \subset X^{**}$, $L \subset Y^*$ are compact sets, and $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\exists T \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ satisfying $T(U) \subset V_U$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

- 1° $\| \|T\| - \|S\| \| < \varepsilon$,
- 2° $\| T^* y^* - S y^* \| < \varepsilon$ for all $y^* \in L$,
- 3° $\| T^{**} x^{**} - S^* x^{**} \| < \varepsilon$ whenever $x^{**} \in K$ and $S^* x^{**} \in Y$.

Applying PLR resp. nests twice (assuming $\mathcal{U}^{\perp\perp}$ is complete):

- Finite-rank operators between **bi**-duals, who respect **bi**-annihilators, are “locally” **bi**-conjugate to operators, who respect subspaces.

PLR respecting nests easily follows from:

Lemma: \mathcal{G} – nest in X^* , $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{V_G : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ – nest in Y ,

$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}}$ increasing on \mathcal{G} . Assume $\{0\} \in \mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\perp}$ is complete. Let

$T \in X \otimes Y^{**}$ satisfy $T(G) \subset V_G^{\perp\perp}$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $\exists (T_{\alpha}) \subset X \otimes Y$ satisfying $T_{\alpha}(G) \subset V_G$ for all α and $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$1^{\circ} \quad \|T_{\alpha}\| \rightarrow \|T\|,$$

$$2^{\circ} \quad T_{\alpha}^* y^* \rightarrow T^* y^* \text{ for all } y^* \in Y^*,$$

$$3^{\circ} \quad T_{\alpha} x^* \rightarrow T x^* \text{ for those } x^* \in X^* \text{ for which } T x^* \in Y.$$

Sketch of proof:

$$\mathcal{R} := \{R \in X \otimes Y : R(G) \subset V_G \quad \forall G \in \mathcal{G}\}$$

$$\mathcal{S} := \{S \in X \otimes Y^{**} : S(G) \subset V_G^{\perp\perp} \quad \forall G \in \mathcal{G}\}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\perp} \subset (X \otimes Y)^* = \mathcal{I}(X, Y^*) \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{I}(X, Y^{***}) = (X \otimes Y^{**})^* \supset \mathcal{S}^{\perp},$$

where $J(A) = j_{Y^*} A$. Using extension of Ringrose th., $J(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}) \subset \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$.

Define $\Phi(A + \mathcal{R}^{\perp}) = J(A) + \mathcal{S}^{\perp}$, $A \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y^*)$,

$$\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{I}(X, Y^*) / \mathcal{R}^{\perp} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{I}(X, Y^{***}) / \mathcal{S}^{\perp} = \mathcal{S}^*.$$

$T \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\Phi^*(T) \in \|T\| \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{R}^{**}} \Rightarrow \exists (T_{\alpha}) \subset \mathcal{R}$, also 1° , 2° , 3° hold.

Extension of the Ringrose theorem [OV17]:

X, Y – Banach spaces; \mathcal{G} – nest in X^* ,

$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{V_G : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ – nest in Y , $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}}$ increasing on \mathcal{G} .

Assume $\{0\} \in \mathcal{G}$, $Y \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is closed under intersections.

$\mathcal{R} := \{R \in X \otimes Y : R(G) \subset V_G \quad \forall G \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Then :

- $R = x \otimes y \in \mathcal{R} \Leftrightarrow \exists G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $x \in (G_-)_{\perp}$ and $y \in V_G$.
- Let $R \in X \otimes Y$ have rank n . $R \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow R = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes y_k, x_k \otimes y_k \in \mathcal{R}$.

$(G_- := \bigcup\{H \in \mathcal{G} : H \subset G, H \neq G\}$ if $G \neq \{0\}$; $\{0\}_- := \{0\}$.)

Ringrose ([Proc. London Math. Soc. 1965] and [Erdos 1968]):

$X^* = Y = H$ – Hilbert space, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}$ – complete nest.

\mathcal{N} – nest in X , assume \mathcal{N}^\perp is complete. **PLR resp. nests** \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow $(\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ of (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) is given with conjugate operators.

- $(X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP} \Rightarrow (X, \mathcal{N}) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ (by (2'))

Summary: Nest APs nicely “go down”.

\mathcal{N} – nest in X , assume \mathcal{N}^\perp is complete. **PLR resp. nests** \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow $(\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ of (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) is given with conjugate operators.

- $(X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP} \Rightarrow (X, \mathcal{N}) - (\lambda\text{-B})\text{AP}$ (by $(2')$)

Summary: Nest APs nicely “go down”.

Lifting “up” from X to X^* is known in special cases:

- X has the **unique extension property**; then
- $X - \text{MAP} \Rightarrow X^* - \text{MAP}$ [Godefroy, Saphar; Illin. J. Math. 1988]

- X is **extendably LR (ELR)** [Johnson, Oikhberg; Illin. J. Math. 2001]:
- $X - \lambda\text{-ELR}, X - \mu\text{-BAP} \Rightarrow X^* - \lambda\mu\text{-BAP}$

(E, F, ε) : $E \subset X^{**}$, $\dim E < \infty$; $F \subset X^*$, $\dim F < \infty$; $\varepsilon > 0$

Recall: X is λ -extendably LR: $\forall (E, F, \varepsilon) \exists T \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**})$,
 $T(E) \subset X$, $\|T\| \leq \lambda + \varepsilon$,
 $x^*(Tx^{**}) = x^{**}(x^*)$ whenever $x^{**} \in E$, $x^* \in F$.

[OV2017]: (X, \mathcal{N}) is λ -ELR: $\forall (E, F, \varepsilon) \exists T \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**})$,
 $T(E) \subset X$, $\|T\| \leq \lambda + \varepsilon$, $T(U^{\perp\perp}) \subset U^{\perp\perp}$ for all $U \in \mathcal{N}$,
 $|x^*(Tx^{**}) - x^{**}(x^*)| \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $x^{**} \in S_E$, $x^* \in S_F$.

Using **PLR resp. nests** and **[Oja, Veidenberg; JMAA 2016]** gives
[OV2017]: Let $\mathcal{N}^{\perp\perp}$ be complete. Then

• $(X, \mathcal{N}) - \lambda$ -ELR, $(X, \mathcal{N}) - \mu$ -BAP $\Rightarrow (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) - \lambda\mu$ -BAP.

Rosenthal (see **[JO2001]**): $X^* - \lambda$ -BAP $\Rightarrow X - \lambda$ -ELR.

Using **PLR resp. nests: [OV2017]**: Let \mathcal{N}^\perp be complete. Then

• $(X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) - \lambda$ -BAP $\Rightarrow (X, \mathcal{N}) - \lambda$ -ELR.

- Below, let X have the **unique extension property**:
the **only** $T \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**})$ such that $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $T|_X = I_X$ is $T = I_{X^{**}}$.

[GS1988]: X – MAP $\Rightarrow X^*$ – MAP. (The same for compact MAP.)

[Oja; JMAA 2006] $\Rightarrow (X, \mathcal{N})$ – weakly compact MAP \Rightarrow
 $\Rightarrow (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp)$ – weakly compact MAP with conj. op-rs.

- Below, let X have the **unique extension property**:
the **only** $T \in \mathcal{L}(X^{**})$ such that $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $T|_X = I_X$ is $T = I_{X^{**}}$.

[GS1988]: $X - \text{MAP} \Rightarrow X^* - \text{MAP}$. (The same for compact MAP.)

[Oja; JMAA 2006] $\Rightarrow (X, \mathcal{N}) - \text{weakly compact MAP} \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) - \text{weakly compact MAP with conj. op-rs}$.

- Lifting “up” of bounded nest APs from X to X^* is possible whenever X already enjoys a weaker *metric* nest AP:

[OV2017]: Assume (X, \mathcal{N}) has weakly compact MAP. Then

- $(X, \mathcal{N}) - \lambda\text{-BAP} \Rightarrow (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) - \lambda\text{-BAP}$.

Proof. (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) has weakly compact MAP with conj. op-rs. By [OV2017], (X, \mathcal{N}) is 1-ELR of stronger type (with ELR operator $T = S^{**}$, $S \in \mathcal{W}(X, X)$). Since X has also $\lambda\text{-BAP}$, (X^*, \mathcal{N}^\perp) has $(1 \cdot \lambda)\text{-BAP}$ by an extension of the Johnson–Oikhberg theorem.