#### Algunos resultados del tipo de Farkas

#### Miguel A. Goberna

Dep. de Estadística e Investigación Operativa Universidad de Alicante

#### VII Encuentro de Análisis Funcional y Aplicaciones Jaca, Abril 7-9, 2011.

<span id="page-0-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

メロト メ都 トメ ミトメ ミト

目

- **The classical Farkas' lemma**
- Semi-infinite Farkas-type results

4日)

∢ 重

 $\rightarrow$ 

-b

∍

Þ

目

- **The classical Farkas' lemma**
- Semi-infinite Farkas-type results
- Infinite Farkas-type results

 $\leftarrow$ 

 $299$ 

∍

- **The classical Farkas' lemma**
- Semi-infinite Farkas-type results
- Infinite Farkas-type results
- Approximate infinite Farkas-type results

×.

 $\Omega$ 

×.

<span id="page-5-0"></span> $QQ$ 

Miguel A. Goberna

• Consider the optimization problem

(P) min  $f(x)$  s.t.  $x \in A$ ,

<span id="page-6-0"></span> $200$ 

where A is the feasible set, with  $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$  (the decision space), and  $f : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is the objective function.

• Consider the optimization problem

(P) min  $f(x)$  s.t.  $x \in A$ ,

where A is the feasible set, with  $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$  (the decision space), and  $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is the objective function.

•  $a \in A$  is a global minimum (or minimizer) of  $(P)$  when

$$
x\in A\Rightarrow f\left(x\right)\geq f\left(a\right)
$$

つくい

• Consider the optimization problem

(P) min  $f(x)$  s.t.  $x \in A$ ,

where A is the feasible set, with  $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$  (the decision space), and  $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is the objective function.

•  $a \in A$  is a global minimum (or minimizer) of  $(P)$  when

$$
x\in A\Rightarrow f\left(x\right)\geq f\left(a\right)
$$

つくい

A Farkas-type result is a characterization of the inclusion  $A \subset [g \le 0] := \{x \in X : g(x) \le 0\}$ 

• Consider the optimization problem

(P) min  $f(x)$  s.t.  $x \in A$ ,

where A is the feasible set, with  $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$  (the decision space), and  $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is the objective function.

•  $a \in A$  is a global minimum (or minimizer) of  $(P)$  when

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
x\in A\Rightarrow f\left(x\right)\geq f\left(a\right)
$$

- A Farkas-type result is a characterization of the inclusion  $A \subset [g \le 0] := \{x \in X : g(x) \le 0\}$
- By extension: each characterization of the containment of two sets,  $A \subset B$ , can be seen as an extended Farkas' lemma.

• Consider the optimization problem

(P) min  $f(x)$  s.t.  $x \in A$ ,

where A is the feasible set, with  $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$  (the decision space), and  $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is the objective function.

•  $a \in A$  is a global minimum (or minimizer) of  $(P)$  when

$$
x\in A\Rightarrow f\left(x\right)\geq f\left(a\right)
$$

- A Farkas-type result is a characterization of the inclusion  $A \subset [g \le 0] := \{x \in X : g(x) \le 0\}$
- By extension: each characterization of the containment of two sets,  $A \subset B$ , can be seen as an extended Farkas' lemma.
- **•** The expression "Farkas' lemma" appears in the title (abstract) of more than 50 (180) papers reviewed [in](#page-9-0) [M](#page-11-0)[a](#page-5-0)[t](#page-6-0)[h](#page-10-0)[S](#page-11-0)[cin](#page-0-0)[et](#page-91-0)[.](#page-0-0)

<span id="page-10-0"></span> $QQ$ 

<span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>Consider a particle moving within a body  $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f_t(x) \le 0 \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\$  (*T* finite,  $f_t \in \mathcal{C}^1$  $\forall t \in \mathcal{T}$ ) owing to the action of a conservative field with potential function  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$ .

- Consider a particle moving within a body  $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f_t(x) \le 0 \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\$  (*T* finite,  $f_t \in \mathcal{C}^1$  $\forall t \in \mathcal{T}$ ) owing to the action of a conservative field with potential function  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$ .
- $\bullet$  The set of active constraints of  $a \in F$  is

$$
T(a) := \{ t \in T : f_t(a) = 0 \}.
$$

つくい

- Consider a particle moving within a body  $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f_t(x) \le 0 \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\$  (*T* finite,  $f_t \in \mathcal{C}^1$  $\forall t \in \mathcal{T}$ ) owing to the action of a conservative field with potential function  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$ .
- $\bullet$  The set of active constraints of  $a \in F$  is

$$
T(a) := \{ t \in T : f_t(a) = 0 \}.
$$

• Ostrogradski asserted in 1838 that, if  $a \in F$  is an equilibrium point (i.e., a local minimum of  $f$  on  $F$ ), then

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \langle \nabla f_t(a), x \rangle \leq 0 \,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}(a) \right\} \qquad (1)
$$
  

$$
\subset \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \langle \nabla f(a), x \rangle \geq 0 \right\}
$$

 $\Omega$ 

(true whenever $\{\nabla f_t(a), t \in \mathcal{T}(a)\}\$ is linearly independent).

- Consider a particle moving within a body  $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f_t(x) \le 0 \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\$  (*T* finite,  $f_t \in \mathcal{C}^1$  $\forall t \in \mathcal{T}$ ) owing to the action of a conservative field with potential function  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$ .
- $\bullet$  The set of active constraints of  $a \in F$  is

$$
T(a) := \{ t \in T : f_t(a) = 0 \}.
$$

• Ostrogradski asserted in 1838 that, if  $a \in F$  is an equilibrium point (i.e., a local minimum of  $f$  on  $F$ ), then

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \langle \nabla f_t(a), x \rangle \leq 0 \,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}(a) \right\} \qquad (1)
$$
  

$$
\subset \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \langle \nabla f(a), x \rangle \geq 0 \right\}
$$

モミメ モミメー

 $\Omega$ 

(true whenever $\{\nabla f_t(a), t \in \mathcal{T}(a)\}\$ is linearly independent).

• He also asserted that

 $(1) \Leftrightarrow -\nabla f(a) \in \text{cone} \{ \nabla f_t(a), t \in \mathcal{T} (a) \}.$  $(1) \Leftrightarrow -\nabla f(a) \in \text{cone} \{ \nabla f_t(a), t \in \mathcal{T} (a) \}.$  $(1) \Leftrightarrow -\nabla f(a) \in \text{cone} \{ \nabla f_t(a), t \in \mathcal{T} (a) \}.$  $(1) \Leftrightarrow -\nabla f(a) \in \text{cone} \{ \nabla f_t(a), t \in \mathcal{T} (a) \}.$ 

• 1894: the physicist Farkas observes that it is necessary to characterize the inclusion  $A \subset [g \ge 0]$  when A is described by means of linear functions and  $g$  is linear too.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>つくへ

- 1894: the physicist Farkas observes that it is necessary to characterize the inclusion  $A \subset [g \ge 0]$  when A is described by means of linear functions and  $g$  is linear too.
- **•** 1902: he gives the 1st correct proof of the linear/linear Farkas' lemma: given  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq 0 \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\} \neq \emptyset$ , T finite,

 $A \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a, x \rangle \leq 0\}$  $\Leftrightarrow$  a  $\in$  cone  $\{a_t, t \in T\}$ 

つくい

- 1894: the physicist Farkas observes that it is necessary to characterize the inclusion  $A \subset [g > 0]$  when A is described by means of linear functions and  $g$  is linear too.
- **•** 1902: he gives the 1st correct proof of the linear/linear Farkas' lemma: given  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq 0 \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\} \neq \emptyset$ , T finite,  $A \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a, x \rangle \leq 0\}$  $\Leftrightarrow$  a  $\in$  cone  $\{a_t, t \in T\}$
- $\bullet$  1911: Minkowski proves the affine/affine Farkas' lemma: given  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\} \neq \emptyset$ , T finite,

$$
A \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a, x \rangle \le b\}
$$

$$
\Leftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array}\right) \in \text{cone}\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c} a_t \\ b_t \end{array}\right), t \in \mathcal{T}; \left(\begin{array}{c} 0_n \\ 1 \end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

つくい

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $299$ 

э

**1** Optimality in Nonlinear Programming (Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).

 $QQ$ 

- **1** Optimality in Nonlinear Programming (Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).
- <sup>2</sup> Duality theory in Linear Programming (Gale-Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).

 $\Omega$ 

- **1** Optimality in Nonlinear Programming (Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).
- <sup>2</sup> Duality theory in Linear Programming (Gale-Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).

 $200$ 

<sup>3</sup> Data mining (Mangasarian, 2002).

- **1** Optimality in Nonlinear Programming (Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).
- <sup>2</sup> Duality theory in Linear Programming (Gale-Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).

つくい

- **3** Data mining (Mangasarian, 2002).
- **4** Economics (reviewed by Franklin, 1983).

- **1** Optimality in Nonlinear Programming (Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).
- <sup>2</sup> Duality theory in Linear Programming (Gale-Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).

- **3** Data mining (Mangasarian, 2002).
- **4** Economics (reviewed by Franklin, 1983).
- **5** Moment problems (idem).

- **1** Optimality in Nonlinear Programming (Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).
- <sup>2</sup> Duality theory in Linear Programming (Gale-Kuhn-Tucker, 1951).
- **3** Data mining (Mangasarian, 2002).
- **4** Economics (reviewed by Franklin, 1983).
- **5** Moment problems (idem).
	- A state-of-the-art survey at the end of the 20th Century: Jeyakumar (2000).

#### References

Gale-Kuhn-Tucker, Linear programming and the theory of games. In: Activity Analysis of Production and Allocation, pp. 317-329, J. Wiley, 1951.

Kuhn-Tucker, Nonlinear programming. In: Proc. 2nd Berkeley Symp. on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, pp. 481-492, UCL, 1951.

Franklin, Mathematical methods of economics, Amer. Math. Monthly 90 (1983) 229-244.

Jeyakumar, Farkas' lemma: Generalizations. In Encyclopedia of Optimization II, pp. 87- 91, Kluwer, 2001.

Mangasarian, Set containment characterization, J. Global Optim. 24 (2002) 473-480.

伊 ▶ イヨ ▶ イヨ ▶

 $\Omega$ 

Miguel A. Goberna

• A Farkas-type result involving

$$
A = \{x \in X : f_t(x) \leq 0 \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}
$$

is semi-infinite when either card  $T$  or dim  $X$  is finite (but not both).

 $QQ$ 

• A Farkas-type result involving

$$
A = \{x \in X : f_t(x) \leq 0 \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}
$$

is semi-infinite when either card  $\overline{T}$  or dim  $X$  is finite (but not both).

• 1924: Haar considers  $X = C(I)$ , where  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a compact interval, equipped with the scalar product  $\langle f, g \rangle =$  $\int f(s) g(s) ds$ ,  $a \in X$ , and  $A = \{x \in X : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq 0 \,\, \forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}$  such that  $\{a_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$  is linearly independent ( $\Rightarrow$  T finite).

つくへ

• A Farkas-type result involving

$$
A = \{x \in X : f_t(x) \leq 0 \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}
$$

is semi-infinite when either card  $\overline{T}$  or dim  $X$  is finite (but not both).

- $\bullet$  1924: Haar considers  $X = C(I)$ , where  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a compact interval, equipped with the scalar product  $\langle f, g \rangle =$  $\int f(s) g(s) ds$ ,  $a \in X$ , and  $A = \{x \in X : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq 0 \,\, \forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}$  such that  $\{a_t, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$  is linearly independent ( $\Rightarrow$  T finite).
- He proves the following linear/linear Farkas lemma:

 $A \subset \{x \in X : \langle a, x \rangle \leq 0\}$  $\Leftrightarrow$  a  $\in$  cone  $\{a_t, t \in T\}$ 

つくい

• Consider the problem

(LSIP) min  $\langle c, x \rangle$  s.t.  $x \in A =: \{ \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t, t \in \mathcal{T} \},$ where  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $T$  is infinite.

• Consider the problem

(LSIP) min  $\langle c, x \rangle$  s.t.  $x \in A =: \{ \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t, t \in \mathcal{T} \},$ 

where  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $T$  is infinite.

• 1969: Charnes-Cooper-Kortanek prove a strong duality theorem for (LSIP) under the following CQ:

> (FM) cone  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_t \\ b_t \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  $\overline{ }$ ,  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ ;  $\begin{pmatrix} 0_n \end{pmatrix}$  $\begin{pmatrix} 0_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is closed.

• Consider the problem

(LSIP) min  $\langle c, x \rangle$  s.t.  $x \in A =: \{ \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t, t \in \mathcal{T} \},$ 

where  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $T$  is infinite.

• 1969: Charnes-Cooper-Kortanek prove a strong duality theorem for (LSIP) under the following CQ:

(FM) cone 
$$
\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_t \\ b_t \end{pmatrix}, t \in \mathcal{T}; \begin{pmatrix} 0_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
$$
 is closed.

 $\bullet$  In many LSIP applications T is compact,  $t \mapsto a_t$  and  $t \mapsto b_t$ are continuous, and  $\exists \overline{x}$  such that  $\langle a_t, \overline{x} \rangle < b \,\, \forall t \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then (FM) holds.

1981: GLP take  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , T arbitrary, and  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t \,\, \forall t \in \mathcal{T} \} \neq \varnothing$ , "showing" the following affine/affine Farkas' lemma:

$$
A \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a, x \rangle \le b\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \text{clone}\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_t \\ b_t \end{pmatrix}, t \in \mathcal{T}; \begin{pmatrix} 0_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
$$
 (2)

<span id="page-33-1"></span><span id="page-33-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

1981: GLP take  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , T arbitrary, and  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t \,\, \forall t \in \mathcal{T} \} \neq \varnothing$ , "showing" the following affine/affine Farkas' lemma:

$$
A \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a, x \rangle \le b\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \text{clone} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_t \\ b_t \end{pmatrix}, t \in \mathcal{T}; \begin{pmatrix} 0_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
$$
 (2)

• As in LP, from [\(2\)](#page-33-0),  $a \in A$  is a minimizer of (LSIP) iff

 $-c \in \text{cl cone}\{a_t, t \in \mathcal{T}(a)\}\,.$  (3)

1981: GLP take  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , T arbitrary, and  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t \,\, \forall t \in \mathcal{T} \} \neq \varnothing$ , "showing" the following affine/affine Farkas' lemma:

$$
A \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a, x \rangle \le b\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \text{clone} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_t \\ b_t \end{pmatrix}, t \in \mathcal{T}; \begin{pmatrix} 0_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
$$
 (2)

• As in LP, from [\(2\)](#page-33-0),  $a \in A$  is a minimizer of (LSIP) iff

 $-c \in \text{cl cone}\{a_t, t \in \mathcal{T}(a)\}\,.$  (3)

つくい

• Moreover, under the (FM) CQ, we can eliminate "cl" from [\(3\)](#page-33-1) (non-asymptotic optimality theorem).
• The containment of closed convex sets can be easily characterized from the affine/affine Farkas' lemma:

 $\Omega$ 

- The containment of closed convex sets can be easily characterized from the affine/affine Farkas' lemma:
- $\bullet$  Define the weak dual (closed) cone of a closed convex set  $F$ as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x \leq b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

 $\Omega$ 

- The containment of closed convex sets can be easily characterized from the affine/affine Farkas' lemma:
- Define the weak dual (closed) cone of a closed convex set F as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x \leq b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

 $\bullet$  Then, given two closed convex sets F and G,

 $F\subset G \Leftrightarrow K_G^{\geq}\subset K_F^{\geq}$ 

- The containment of closed convex sets can be easily characterized from the affine/affine Farkas' lemma:
- $\bullet$  Define the weak dual (closed) cone of a closed convex set F as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x \leq b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

 $\bullet$  Then, given two closed convex sets F and G,

 $F\subset G \Leftrightarrow K_G^{\geq}\subset K_F^{\geq}$ 

 $200$ 

• The stability of the inclusion  $F\subset G$  is related with the condition  $F \subset \text{int } G$ .

- The containment of closed convex sets can be easily characterized from the affine/affine Farkas' lemma:
- $\bullet$  Define the weak dual (closed) cone of a closed convex set F as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x \leq b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

 $\bullet$  Then, given two closed convex sets F and G,

<span id="page-40-0"></span> $F\subset G \Leftrightarrow K_G^{\geq}\subset K_F^{\geq}$ 

- $\bullet$  The stability of the inclusion  $F\subset G$  is related with the condition  $F \subset \text{int }G$ .
- $\bullet$  F and int G are e-convex sets (i.e., intersections of open halfspaces). 御き メミメ メミメー

 $\bullet$  Define the strict dual (e-convex) cone of an e-convex set  $F$  as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x < b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

つくへ

 $\bullet$  Define the strict dual (e-convex) cone of an e-convex set  $F$  as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x < b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

• 2006: GJD show that, given two e-convex sets  $F$  and  $G$ ,

 $F\subset G \Leftrightarrow K_G^{\leq}\subset K_F^{\leq}$ 

 $\Omega$ 

 $\bullet$  Define the strict dual (e-convex) cone of an e-convex set F as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x < b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

 $\bullet$  2006: GJD show that, given two e-convex sets F and G,

 $F\subset G \Leftrightarrow K_G^{\leq}\subset K_F^{\leq}$ 

 $200$ 

• Some properties of the dual cones:

 $\bullet$  Define the strict dual (e-convex) cone of an e-convex set F as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x < b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

 $\bullet$  2006: GJD show that, given two e-convex sets F and G,

 $F\subset G \Leftrightarrow K_G^{\leq}\subset K_F^{\leq}$ 

- Some properties of the dual cones:
- $\mathsf{cl}\, \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{F}}^{\leq} = \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{cl}\, \mathsf{F}}^{\leq}.$

 $\bullet$  Define the strict dual (e-convex) cone of an e-convex set F as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x < b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

• 2006: GJD show that, given two e-convex sets  $F$  and  $G$ ,

 $F\subset G \Leftrightarrow K_G^{\leq}\subset K_F^{\leq}$ 

- Some properties of the dual cones:
- $\mathsf{cl}\, \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{F}}^{\leq} = \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{cl}\, \mathsf{F}}^{\leq}.$
- F is open iff  $K_F^{\leq} \cup \{0_{n+1}\}$  is closed. Then,  $K_F^{\leq} = K_{\text{cl }F}^{\leq} \setminus \{0_{n+1}\}.$

 $\bullet$  Define the strict dual (e-convex) cone of an e-convex set F as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x < b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

• 2006: GJD show that, given two e-convex sets  $F$  and  $G$ ,

<span id="page-46-0"></span> $F\subset G \Leftrightarrow K_G^{\leq}\subset K_F^{\leq}$ 

- Some properties of the dual cones:
- $\mathsf{cl}\, \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{F}}^{\leq} = \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{cl}\, \mathsf{F}}^{\leq}.$
- F is open iff  $K_F^{\leq} \cup \{0_{n+1}\}$  is closed. Then,  $K_F^{\leq} = K_{\text{cl }F}^{\leq} \setminus \{0_{n+1}\}.$
- If  $K_F^{\leq}$  is relatively open, then F is closed.

 $\bullet$  Define the strict dual (e-convex) cone of an e-convex set F as

$$
\mathcal{K}_F^{\leq} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : a'x < b, \ \forall x \in F \right\}
$$

• 2006: GJD show that, given two e-convex sets  $F$  and  $G$ ,

 $F\subset G \Leftrightarrow K_G^{\leq}\subset K_F^{\leq}$ 

- Some properties of the dual cones:
- $\mathsf{cl}\, \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{F}}^{\leq} = \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{cl}\, \mathsf{F}}^{\leq}.$
- F is open iff  $K_F^{\leq} \cup \{0_{n+1}\}$  is closed. Then,  $K_F^{\leq} = K_{\text{cl }F}^{\leq} \setminus \{0_{n+1}\}.$
- If  $K_F^{\leq}$  is relatively open, then F is closed.
- If F is compact, then  $K_F^{\leq} = \text{int } K_{\text{cl }F}^{\leq}$  ([ope](#page-46-0)[n\)](#page-48-0)[.](#page-40-0)

#### References

Charnes-Cooper-Kortanek, On the theory of semi-infinite programming and a generalization of the Kuhn-Tucker saddle point theorem for arbitrary convex functions, Naval Res. Logist. Quart. 16 (1969) 41-51. Goberna-LÛpez-Pastor, J. Farkas-Minkowski systems in semi-infinite programming, Appl. Math. Optim. 7 (1981) 295–308. Goberna-Jeyakumar-Dinh (2006), Dual characterizations of set containments with strict convex inequalities. J. Global Optim. 34, 33-54.

<span id="page-48-0"></span>つくい

#### Some sequels

Tapia-Trosset, An extension of the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker necessity conditions to infinite programming, SIAM Review 36 (1994) 1-17. Li-Nahak-Singer, Constraint qualifications for semi-infinite systems of convex inequalities, SIAM J. Optim. 11 (2000) 31-52. Jeyakumar, Characterizing set containments involving infinite convex constraints and reverse-convex constraints. SIAM J. Optim. 13 (2003) 947-959.

Doagooei-Mohebi, Dual characterizations of the set containments with strict cone-convex inequalities in Banach spaces, J. Global Optim. 43 (2009) 577-591.

Suzuki-Kuroiwa, Set containment characterization for quasiconvex programming, J. Global Optim. 45 (2009) 551-563.

Suzuki, Set containment characterization with strict and weak quasiconvex inequalities, J. Global Optim. 47 (2010) 273-285.

 $200$ 

Miguel A. Goberna

1966: Chu considers a IcHtvs  $X$ , whose topological dual  $X^\ast$  is equipped with the  $w^*$  topology,  $\overline{T}$  is arbitrary,  $a, a_t \in X^*$ ,  $b_t, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $A = \{x \in X : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\neq \emptyset$ .

 $\Omega$ 

- 1966: Chu considers a IcHtvs  $X$ , whose topological dual  $X^\ast$  is equipped with the  $w^*$  topology,  $\overline{T}$  is arbitrary,  $a, a_t \in X^*$ ,  $b_t, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $A = \{x \in X : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\neq \emptyset$ .
- His afine/affine Farkas' lemma establishes that

 $A \subset \{x \in X : \langle a, x \rangle \leq b\}$  $\Leftrightarrow$   $(a, b) \in$  cl cone  $\{(a_t, b_t), t \in \mathcal{T}; (0, 1)\}$ 

- 1966: Chu considers a IcHtvs  $X$ , whose topological dual  $X^\ast$  is equipped with the  $w^*$  topology,  $\overline{T}$  is arbitrary,  $a, a_t \in X^*$ ,  $b_t, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $A = \{x \in X : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\neq \emptyset$ .
- His afine/affine Farkas' lemma establishes that

$$
A \subset \{x \in X : \langle a, x \rangle \le b\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow (a, b) \in \text{clone } \{(a_t, b_t), t \in T; (0, 1)\}
$$

• Denote by  $\Gamma(X)$  the set of proper lsc convex functions from X.

- 1966: Chu considers a IcHtvs  $X$ , whose topological dual  $X^\ast$  is equipped with the  $w^*$  topology,  $\overline{T}$  is arbitrary,  $a, a_t \in X^*$ ,  $b_t, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $A = \{x \in X : \langle a_t, x \rangle \leq b_t \,\,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\neq \emptyset$ .
- His afine/affine Farkas' lemma establishes that

$$
A \subset \{x \in X : \langle a, x \rangle \le b\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow (a, b) \in \text{clone } \{(a_t, b_t), t \in T; (0, 1)\}
$$

- Denote by  $\Gamma(X)$  the set of proper lsc convex functions from X.
- $\bullet$  2006: DGL replace the continuous affine functionals by elements of  $\Gamma(X)$ , exploiting the fact that these functions are the supremum of continuous affine functionals.

• More precisely, defining the Fenchel conjugate of  $h \in \Gamma(X)$  as

$$
h^*(u) = \sup\{\langle u, x \rangle - h(x) : x \in \text{dom } h\},\
$$

one gets  $h^{**} = h$ .

• More precisely, defining the Fenchel conjugate of  $h \in \Gamma(X)$  as

$$
h^*(u) = \sup\{\langle u, x\rangle - h(x) : x \in \text{dom } h\},\
$$

one gets  $h^{**} = h$ .

Let X,  $X^*$  and  $T$  be as above,  $f, f_t \in \Gamma(X)$  ,  $\forall t \in T$ , and  $A = \{x \in X : f_t(x) \leq 0 \,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\neq \emptyset.$ 

• More precisely, defining the Fenchel conjugate of  $h \in \Gamma(X)$  as

$$
h^*(u) = \sup\{\langle u, x \rangle - h(x) : x \in \text{dom } h\},\
$$

one gets  $h^{**} = h$ .

- Let X,  $X^*$  and  $T$  be as above,  $f, f_t \in \Gamma(X)$  ,  $\forall t \in T$ , and  $A = \{x \in X : f_t(x) \leq 0 \,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\neq \emptyset.$
- $\bullet$  The convex/convex Farkas' lemma establishes that

$$
A \subset [f \leq 0] \Leftrightarrow \text{epi} f^* \subset \text{cl cone}\left\{\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \text{epi} f_t^*\right\}
$$

つくい

• More precisely, defining the Fenchel conjugate of  $h \in \Gamma(X)$  as

$$
h^*(u) = \sup\{\langle u, x\rangle - h(x) : x \in \text{dom } h\},\
$$

one gets  $h^{**} = h$ .

- Let X,  $X^*$  and  $T$  be as above,  $f, f_t \in \Gamma(X)$  ,  $\forall t \in T$ , and  $A = \{x \in X : f_t(x) \leq 0 \,\forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}\neq \emptyset.$
- $\bullet$  The convex/convex Farkas' lemma establishes that

$$
A \subset [f \leq 0] \Leftrightarrow \text{epi} f^* \subset \text{cl cone}\left\{\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \text{epi} f_t^*\right\}
$$

つくい

This version does not provide a characterization of global optimality.

• We say that the Farkas-Minkowski CQ holds whenever  $(FM)$  cone  $\left\{\bigcup\right\}$  $t\in T$  $epif_t^*$ ) is weak -closed.

つくへ

• We say that the Farkas-Minkowski CQ holds whenever  $(FM)$  cone  $\left\{\bigcup\right\}$  $t\in T$  $epif_t^*$ ) is weak -closed.

• 2007: DGLS provide the following asymptotic  $convex/reverse-convex Farkas' lemma:$  if  $(FM)$  holds, then

$$
A \subset [f \geq 0] \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \text{cl}\left(\text{epi}f^* + \text{cone}\left\{\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \text{epi}f_t^*\right\}\right)
$$

つくい

• We say that the Farkas-Minkowski CQ holds whenever  $(FM)$  cone  $\left\{\bigcup\right\}$  $t\in T$  $epif_t^*$ ) is weak -closed.

• 2007: DGLS provide the following asymptotic  $convex/reverse-convex Farkas' lemma:$  if  $(FM)$  holds, then

$$
A \subset [f \geq 0] \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \text{cl}\left(\text{epi}f^* + \text{cone}\left\{\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \text{epi}f_t^*\right\}\right)
$$

 $\bullet$ Recall the closedness condition of Burachik-Jeyakumar (2005):

**(CC)** 
$$
\operatorname{epi} t^* + \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{cone} \left\{ \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \operatorname{epi} f_t^* \right\}
$$
 is weak\*-closed

つくい

• Each of the following conditions implies (CC):

 $299$ 

∍

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

- Each of the following conditions implies (CC):
- **D** epi $f^*$  + cone  $\{\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \text{epi} f_t^*\}$  is weak\*-closed.

- Each of the following conditions implies (CC):
- **D** epi $f^*$  + cone  $\{\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \text{epi} f_t^*\}$  is weak\*-closed.
- **2** (FM) holds and f is linear.

 $\Omega$ 

- $\bullet$  Each of the following conditions implies  $(CC)$ :
- **D** epi $f^*$  + cone  $\{\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \text{epi} f_t^*\}$  is weak\*-closed.
- $\bullet$  (FM) holds and f is linear.
- $\bullet$  (FM) holds and f is continuous at some point of F.

- $\bullet$  Each of the following conditions implies  $(CC)$ :
- **D** epi $f^*$  + cone  $\{\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \text{epi} f_t^*\}$  is weak\*-closed.
- **2** (FM) holds and f is linear.
- $\bullet$  (FM) holds and f is continuous at some point of F.
	- 2007: DGLS provide the following non-asymptotic convex/reverse-convex Farkas' lemma: if  $(CC)$  holds, then

$$
A \subset [f \geq 0] \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \text{epi}f^* + \text{cone}\left\{\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \text{epi}f_t^*\right\}
$$

つくい

#### References

Burachik-Jeyakumar, Dual condition for the convex subdifferential sum formula with applications, J. Convex Analysis 12 (2005) 279-290.

Chu, Generalization of some fundamental theorems on linear inequalities. Acta Mathematica Sinica 16 (1966) 25-40. Dinh-Goberna-López, From linear to convex systems: consistency, Farkas' lemma and applications, J. Convex Analysis 13 (2006) 279-290.

Dinh-Goberna-López-Son, New Farkas-type constraint qualifications in convex infinite programming, *ESAIM: COCV* 13 (2007) 580-597.

#### Some sequels

Dinh-Vallet-Nghia, Farkas-type results and duality for DC programs with convex constraints, J. Convex Anal. 15 (2008) 235-262.

Li-Ng-Pong, Constraint qualifications for convex inequality systems with applications in constrained optimization, SIAM J. Optim. 19 (2008) 163-187.

Fang-Li-Ng, Constraint Qualifications for Extended Farkas's lemma and Lagrangian Dualities in Convex Infinite Programming, SIAM J. Optim. 20 (2009) 1311-1332.

伊 ▶ イヨ ▶ イヨ ▶

 $200$ 

Jeyakumar-Li, Farkas' lemma for separable sublinear inequalities without qualifications,  $Opt.$  Letters 3 (2009) 537-545.

Dinh-Mordukhovich-Nghia, Subdifferentials of value functions and optimality conditions for DC and bilevel infinite and semi-infinite programs, Math. Programming 123 (2010) 101-138.

Fang-Li-Ng, Constraint qualifications for optimality conditions and total Lagrange dualities in convex infinite programming, Nonlinear Anal. 73 (2010) 1143-1159.

Volle, Theorems of the Alternative for Multivalued Mappings and Applications to Mixed Convex $\setminus$ Concave Systems of Inequalities, Set-Valued Var. Anal. 18 (2010) 601-616.

つくい

# Approximate infinite Farkas-type results

# Approximate infinite Farkas-type results

• The objective of this section is to provide very general optimality conditions (without CQ nor CC conditions).

 $\Omega$
- The objective of this section is to provide very general optimality conditions (without CQ nor CC conditions).
- Let  $X$  and  $Z$  be IcHtvs with corresponding topological duals  $X^*$  and  $Z^*$  endowed with the  $w^*$ —topology.

- The objective of this section is to provide very general optimality conditions (without CQ nor CC conditions).
- Let  $X$  and  $Z$  be IcHtvs with corresponding topological duals  $X^*$  and  $Z^*$  endowed with the  $w^*$ —topology.
- Let  $f \in \Gamma(X)$ , C be a closed convex set in X, and S be a preordering closed convex cone in  $Z$ , with *positive dual cone*

$$
S^+:=\{z^*\in Z^*\;:\;\langle z^*,s\rangle\geq 0,\;\forall s\in S\}.
$$

- The objective of this section is to provide very general optimality conditions (without CQ nor CC conditions).
- Let  $X$  and  $Z$  be IcHtvs with corresponding topological duals  $X^*$  and  $Z^*$  endowed with the  $w^*$ —topology.
- Let  $f \in \Gamma(X)$ , C be a closed convex set in X, and S be a preordering closed convex cone in  $Z$ , with *positive dual cone*

$$
S^+:=\{z^*\in Z^*\;:\;\langle z^*,s\rangle\geq 0,\;\forall s\in S\}.
$$

つくい

Assume that  $\mathcal{H} : X \to Z$  satisfies  $z^* \circ \mathcal{H} \in \Gamma(X) \,\,\forall z^* \in S^+$ and  $A \cap \text{dom } f \neq \emptyset$ , where  $A := C \cap \mathcal{H}^{-1}(-S)$ .

We need the next concepts:

 $299$ 

э

**B** 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

- We need the next concepts:
- **•** Given  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , the  $\varepsilon$ -subdifferential of  $h : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  at  $a \in X$  is

$$
\partial_{\varepsilon}h(a)=\left\{u\in X^*\mid h(x)\geq h(a)+\langle u,x-a\rangle-\varepsilon\,\,\forall x\in X\right\}.
$$

 $\Omega$ 

- We need the next concepts:
- **•** Given  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , the  $\varepsilon$ -subdifferential of  $h : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  at  $a \in X$  is

$$
\partial_{\varepsilon}h(a)=\left\{u\in X^*\mid h(x)\geq h(a)+\langle u,x-a\rangle-\varepsilon\,\,\forall x\in X\right\}.
$$

つくい

**•** The subdifferential of h at  $a \in X$  is  $\partial h(a) := \partial_0 h(a)$ .

- We need the next concepts:
- **•** Given  $\epsilon \geq 0$ , the  $\epsilon$ -subdifferential of  $h: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  at  $a \in X$  is

$$
\partial_{\varepsilon}h(a)=\left\{u\in X^*\mid h(x)\geq h(a)+\langle u,x-a\rangle-\varepsilon\,\,\forall x\in X\right\}.
$$

- **•** The subdifferential of h at  $a \in X$  is  $\partial h(a) := \partial_0 h(a)$ .
- The *indicator function* of  $C \subset X$  is  $i_C(x) = 0$  if  $x \in C$  and  $i_C(x) = +\infty$  otherwise.

- We need the next concepts:
- **•** Given  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , the  $\varepsilon$ -subdifferential of  $h: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  at  $a \in X$  is

$$
\partial_{\varepsilon}h(a)=\left\{u\in X^*\mid h(x)\geq h(a)+\langle u,x-a\rangle-\varepsilon\,\,\forall x\in X\right\}.
$$

- **•** The subdifferential of h at  $a \in X$  is  $\partial h(a) := \partial_0 h(a)$ .
- The *indicator function* of  $C \subset X$  is  $i_C(x) = 0$  if  $x \in C$  and  $i_C(x) = +\infty$  otherwise.
- The  $\varepsilon$ -normal set to C at a point  $a \in C$  is defined by

$$
N_{\varepsilon}\left(\mathbf{\mathit{C}},a\right)=\partial_{\varepsilon}i_{\mathbf{\mathit{C}}}\left(a\right).
$$

• 2010: DGLV provide the following approximate convex/reverse-convex Farkas' lemma :

 $\Omega$ 

- 2010: DGLV provide the following approximate convex/reverse-convex Farkas' lemma :
- $\bullet$  A  $\subset$   $\lceil f \geq 0 \rceil$  iff there exists a net

 $(x_{1i}^*, x_{2i}^*, x_{3i}^*, z_i^*, \varepsilon_i)_{i \in I} \subset (X^*)^3 \times S^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ 

such that

 $f^{*}(x_{1i}^{*})+i_{\mathcal{C}}^{*}(x_{2i}^{*})+(z_{i}^{*}\circ \mathcal{H})^{*}(x_{3i}^{*})\leq \varepsilon_{i}, \forall i,$  $(x_{1i}^* + x_{2i}^* + x_{3i}^*, \varepsilon_i) \rightarrow (0, 0_+).$ 

- 2010: DGLV provide the following approximate convex/reverse-convex Farkas' lemma :
- $\bullet$  A  $\subset$   $\lceil f \geq 0 \rceil$  iff there exists a net

 $(x_{1i}^*, x_{2i}^*, x_{3i}^*, z_i^*, \varepsilon_i)_{i \in I} \subset (X^*)^3 \times S^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ 

such that

 $f^{*}(x_{1i}^{*})+i_{\mathcal{C}}^{*}(x_{2i}^{*})+(z_{i}^{*}\circ \mathcal{H})^{*}(x_{3i}^{*})\leq \varepsilon_{i}, \forall i,$  $(x_{1i}^* + x_{2i}^* + x_{3i}^*, \varepsilon_i) \rightarrow (0, 0_+).$ 

• This result provides an optimality theorem for convex optimization problems of the form

(PC) minimize  $f(x)$  s.t.  $x \in C$  and  $\mathcal{H}(x) \in -S$ .

 $\bullet$  A point  $a \in A \cap (\text{dom } f)$  is a minimizer of (PC) iff there exist  $(\eta_i)_{i \in I} \to 0_+$  and  $\forall i \in I$  there also exist

 $x_{1i}^* \in \partial_{\eta_i} f(a), x_{2i}^* \in N_{\eta_i}(C, a), x_{3i}^* \in \partial_{\eta_i} (z_i^* \circ \mathcal{H})(a), z_i^* \in S^+$ 

such that

$$
0\leq \langle z_i^*, -\mathcal{H}(a)\rangle \leq \eta_i, \forall i,
$$

 $\lim_{i} (x_{1i}^{*} + x_{2i}^{*} + x_{3i}^{*}) = 0.$ 

• Let X, Z, C, S, A and f be as below, and let  $h \in \Gamma(X)$ .

 $299$ 

- 4 三 ト 3

- Let X, Z, C, S, A and f be as below, and let  $h \in \Gamma(X)$ .
- 2010: DGLV provide the following approximate convex/DC Farkas' lemma without CQ nor CC condition:

つくへ

- Let X, Z, C, S, A and f be as below, and let  $h \in \Gamma(X)$ .
- 2010: DGLV provide the following approximate convex/DC Farkas' lemma without CQ nor CC condition:
- $\bullet$  A  $\subset$   $\lceil f h \rangle$  0] iff  $\forall x^* \in \text{dom } h^*$ , there exists a net

 $(x_{1i}^*, x_{2i}^*, x_{3i}^*, z_i^*, \varepsilon_i)_{i \in I} \subset (X^*)^3 \times S^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ 

such that

 $f^{*}(x_{1i}^{*})+i_{\mathcal{C}}^{*}(x_{2i}^{*})+(z_{i}^{*}\circ\mathcal{H})^{*}(x_{3i}^{*})\leq h^{*}(x^{*})+\varepsilon_{i}, \forall i,$ 

$$
(x_{1i}^* + x_{2i}^* + x_{3i}^*, \varepsilon_i) \to (x^*, 0_+).
$$

• The corresponding optimality theorem for DC problems of the form

(DC) 
$$
\begin{cases} \text{minimize} & f(x) - h(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & x \in \mathcal{C}, \ \mathcal{H}(x) \in -S, \end{cases}
$$

 $2990$ 

is as follows:

• The corresponding optimality theorem for DC problems of the form

(DC) 
$$
\begin{cases} \text{minimize} & f(x) - h(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & x \in \mathcal{C}, \ \mathcal{H}(x) \in -S, \end{cases}
$$

is as follows:

 $\bullet$  A point  $a \in A \cap (\text{dom } f)$  is a minimizer of (DC) iff  $\forall x^* \in \text{dom } h^*$  there exists a net

$$
(x_{1i}^*, x_{2i}^*, x_{3i}^*, z_i^*, \varepsilon_i)_{i \in I} \subset (X^*)^3 \times S^+ \times \mathbb{R}
$$

satisfying

 $f^*(x_{1i}^*) + i^*_{\mathcal{C}}(x_{2i}^*) + (z_i^* \circ \mathcal{H})^*(x_{3i}^*) \leq h^*(x^*) + h(a) - f(a) + \varepsilon_i, \forall i,$ 

$$
(x_{1i}^* + x_{2i}^* + x_{3i}^*, \varepsilon_i) \to (x^*, 0_+).
$$

• If  $a \in A \cap \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } h$  is a local minimum of  $(DC)$ , then

*∂h*(*a*) ⊂ lim sup<br> *η*→0<sub>+</sub>  $\blacksquare$  $z^*\in\partial_\eta i_{-S}(\mathcal{H}(a))$  $\{\partial_{\eta} f(a) + \partial_{\eta}(z^* \circ \mathcal{H})(a) + N_{\eta}(C, a)\}$ 



- If  $a \in A \cap \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } h$  is a local minimum of  $(DC)$ , then *∂h*(*a*) ⊂ lim sup<br> *η*→0<sub>+</sub>  $\blacksquare$  $z^*\in\partial_\eta i_{-S}(\mathcal{H}(a))$  $\{\partial_{\eta} f(a) + \partial_{\eta}(z^* \circ \mathcal{H})(a) + N_{\eta}(C, a)\}$
- or, equivalently,  $\forall x^* \in \partial h(a)$ , there exists a net

$$
(x_{1i}^*, x_{2i}^*, x_{3i}^*, z_i^*, \eta_i)_{i \in I} \subset (X^*)^3 \times S^+ \times \mathbb{R}
$$

such that

$$
x_{1i}^* \in \partial_{\eta_i} f(a), \ x_{2i}^* \in N_{\eta_i}(C, a), \ x_{3i}^* \in \partial_{\eta_i} (z_i^* \circ \mathcal{H})(a), \ \forall i,
$$

$$
0 \leq \langle z_i^*, -\mathcal{H}(a) \rangle \leq \eta_i, \ \forall i,
$$

$$
(x_{1i}^* + x_{2i}^* + x_{3i}^*, \eta_i) \longrightarrow (x^*, 0_+).
$$

 $\Omega$ 

Dinh-Goberna-López-Volle, Convex inequalities without constraint qualification nor closedness condition, and their applications in optimization. Set-Valued and Var. Anal. 18 (2010) 423-445.