N⁶-methyl-adenine: an epigenetic signal for DNA–protein interactions

Didier Wion* and Josep Casadesús[‡]

Abstract | N⁶-methyl-adenine is found in the genomes of bacteria, archaea, protists and fungi. Most bacterial DNA adenine methyltransferases are part of restriction-modification systems. Certain groups of Proteobacteria also harbour solitary DNA adenine methyltransferases that provide signals for DNA-protein interactions. In γ -proteobacteria, Dam methylation regulates chromosome replication, nucleoid segregation, DNA repair, transposition of insertion elements and transcription of specific genes. In *Salmonella, Haemophilus, Yersinia* and *Vibrio* species and in pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, Dam methylation is required for virulence. In α -proteobacteria, CcrM methylation regulates the cell cycle in *Caulobacter, Rhizobium* and *Agrobacterium*, and has a role in *Brucella abortus* infection.

Restriction-modification (R-M) system

Bacterial mechanism of defence against invasion by foreign DNA (for example, viruses). They are composed of genes that encode a restriction enzyme and a modification methylase.

*INSERM U318, CHU Michallon, Université Joseph Fourier, 38043 Grenoble, France. *Departamento de Genética, Universidad de Sevilla, Apartado 1095, 41080 Seville, Spain. Correspondence to D.W. e-mail: didier.wion@ ujf-grenoble.fr doi:10.1038/nrmicro1350

In microbial genomes, the most common DNA modification is post-replicative base methylation. C5-methylcytosine (m5C) and N6-methyl-adenine (m6A) are found in the genomes of many fungi, bacteria and protists, whereas N4-methyl-cytosine (m4C) is found only in bacteria¹. m6A is also present in archaeal DNA². Base modification in bacterial genomes is performed by two classes of DNA methyltransferases: those associated with restriction-modification (R-M) systems3, and solitary methyltransferases that do not have a restriction-enzyme counterpart. Examples of the latter are the N6-adenine methyltransferases Dam and CcrM (cellcycle-regulated methyltransferase), and the C5-cytosine methyltransferase Dcm⁴⁻⁷. In eukaryotes, m5C has roles in gene expression, chromatin organization, genome maintenance and parental imprinting, and has attracted much interest because of its involvement in human disease8. By contrast, the functions of the prokaryotic Dcm enzyme remain unknown4.

Diverse groups of Proteobacteria use m6A as a signal for genome defence, DNA replication and repair, nucleoid segregation, regulation of gene expression, control of transposition, and host–pathogen interactions^{4–7} (FIG. 1). Methylation of the amino group of adenine lowers the thermodynamic stability of DNA⁹ and alters DNA curvature¹⁰. Such structural effects can influence DNA–protein interactions, especially for proteins that recognize their cognate DNA-binding sites by both DNA primary sequence and DNA structure¹¹. All known functions of m6A in Proteobacteria rely on regulating the interaction between DNA-binding proteins and their cognate DNA sequences. Typically, m6A is used as a signal to indicate when and where a given DNA-protein interaction must occur¹².

DNA adenine methylation and R-M systems

The discovery of R–M systems was the consequence of observations made in the early 1950s on host-controlled variation of bacterial viruses^{13,14}. The molecular explanation for this phenomenon came several years later, when it was shown that the growth of bacteriophages in bacteria was restricted by endonucleases that attack unmethylated viral DNA, whereas host DNA is protected by a specific methylation pattern¹⁵. R–M systems are found in both Bacteria and Archaea and are believed to have evolved to protect bacteria against viruses. m6A is, with m5C and m4C, one of the three methylated bases that protect DNA against endonuclease digestion. Therefore, R–M systems contain both a restriction enzyme and a cognate DNA adenine or cytosine methyltransferase³.

R-M systems have been classified into three types on the basis of structural features, position of DNA cleavage and cofactor requirements. In types I and III, the DNA adenine or cytosine methyltransferase is part of a multisubunit enzyme that catalyses both restriction and modification³. By contrast, type II R-M systems have two separate enzymes: a restriction endonuclease and a DNA adenine (or cytosine) methyltransferase that recognize the same target³. In addition to these three types of R-M systems in which adenine or cytosine methylation protects against endonuclease cleavage, restriction systems

Distributive enzyme

Enzyme that dissociates from its substrate after one round of catalysis.

Processive enzyme

Enzyme that performs multiple cycles of catalysis without dissociating from its substrate.

that are specific for methylated bases have also been described¹⁶. Therefore, the pattern of adenine methylation extends the coding capacity of DNA to a new function, the distinction between 'self' and 'non-self'17. This classic viewpoint, which considers R-M systems as a barrier against foreign DNA invasion, has progressively evolved to include new biological functions and concepts, such as the involvement of R-M systems in genetic exchange¹⁸ and the maintenance of species identity¹⁹. Other evidence indicates that, in type II R-M systems, the couple made by the methyltransferase and the cognate restriction endonuclease behaves as a 'selfish' element in a host-parasite-type interaction with bacteria²⁰. This view originates from the observation that type II R-M genes are often linked with mobile elements and that their loss can cause cell death through restriction cleavage of the genome. This cell death occurs because R-M methyltransferases are distributive and not processive. Therefore, the residual methyltransferase activity is unable to protect all the restriction sites against cleavage by the remaining endonuclease molecules.

The Dam methylase of γ-proteobacteria

The first solitary DNA adenine methyltransferase that was found to provide signals with physiological significance was the Dam enzyme of *Escherichia coli*^{4,6,7}. Dam transfers a methyl group from S-adenosyl-methionine to the amino group of the adenine moiety embedded in 5'-GATC-3' sites⁴. Methylation occurs shortly (but not immediately)





after DNA replication; therefore, passage of the replication fork leaves GATC sites transiently hemimethylated. The *E. coli* Dam enzyme methylates both hemimethylated and unmethylated GATC sites with similar efficiency⁴. Based on the organization of amino-acid domains, Dam is classified in the α -group of DNA amino methyltransferases and shares significant identity with *Dpn*IIA, *Mbo*IA and other DNA methyltransferases that are part of R–M systems^{6,7}. This relatedness indicates that Dam has evolved from an ancestral R–M system. A difference, however, is that the Dam methylase is highly processive, whereas R–M modification methyltransferases are not²¹.

Homologues of the *E. coli* Dam methylase are found in the orders Enterobacteriales, Vibrionales, Aeromonadales, Pasteurellales and Alteromonadales⁶. In *E. coli* and *Salmonella* species, *dam* mutations cause pleiotropic defects but do not impair viability^{4,22}. In *Vibrio cholerae*, a *dam* mutation is lethal²³ and can prevent replication initiation on both chromosomes²⁴. Dam methylation is also essential in certain strains of *Yersinia*²³.

Chromosome replication and nucleoid segregation. The replication origin (*oriC*) of the *E. coli* chromosome contains 11 GATC sites in 254 bp, a density that is 10-fold higher than expected from a random distribution⁴. Chromosome replication starts when the initiator protein DnaA binds at the *oriC* and separates the two strands of the double helix²⁵. DnaA binding at the *oriC* region is only possible if the *oriC* GATCs are methylated; a hemimethylated origin is inactive^{4,25}.

On DNA replication, the GATC sites of *oriC* are not methylated immediately and remain hemimethylated for up to one-third of the cell cycle²⁶. The cause of this delay is that the hemimethylated origin is sequestered by a protein called SeqA. As a consequence, methylation of the newly synthesized strand in the daughter *oriCs* by the Dam methylase is prevented^{27,28}. As long as SeqAmediated hindrance of GATC methylation persists, the *oriC* remains hemimethylated, and the start of a new replication cycle is delayed²⁹.

Dam methylation also has a role in the regulation of DnaA synthesis. One of the promoters of the *dnaA* gene (*dnaA2*) is only active if its three GATC sites are methylated^{30,31}. Methylation of the *dnaA2* promoter is regulated by a sequestration mechanism that is analogous to that operating at $oriC^{25,26}$. Because oriC and *dnaA* are separated by only 50 kb, both regions can be sequestered almost simultaneously by SeqA^{26,32}. In addition to SeqA, a protein of unknown function that binds to hemimethylated DNA has been shown to contribute to *dnaA* repression³³.

Hemimethylation of *oriC* provides a signal for nucleoid segregation. The hemimethylated origins of the two daughter chromosomes bind to segregation-driving proteins that are located in the outer membrane^{34,35}. SeqA also has a role in this process, as indicated by the observation that SeqA overproduction inhibits sister-chromosome segregation and cell division³⁶. SeqA also binds to hemimethylated GATC sites behind replication forks, and might have a role in nucleoid organization^{37–39}.



Figure 2 | **Dam-directed mismatch repair.** Assembly of the MutHLS complex at a base mismatch is followed by MutHmediated cleavage of the newly synthesized strand (shown in orange) at the nearest GATC. Transient GATC hemimethylation of the newly synthesized strand provides the signal for strand discrimination. Depending on the distance, cleavage might require DNA looping (not shown).

Dam-directed mismatch repair. Mismatched base pairs inevitably arise during DNA replication, and their repair requires discrimination between the template strand and the newly synthesized, error-prone strand. This information is provided by the transient lack of adenine methylation in the newly synthesized DNA strand⁴⁰. After recognition of a mismatched base pair by the MutS protein, a complex comprising MutS, MutL and MutH is formed⁴⁰ (FIG. 2). The ternary complex assembles at a DNA mismatch, and MutH, which is a GATC-specific endonuclease, cleaves the phosphodiester bond 5' to the guanine nucleotide in the non-methylated, newly synthesized DNA strand. Transient GATC hemimethylation in the newly synthesized strand therefore provides the signal for strand discrimination by MutH40. The resulting ends are substrates for the UvrD helicase and for exonucleases that degrade the daughter strand past the erroneously incorporated nucleotide40,41. Resynthesis of the gap by DNA polymerase III and phosphodiesterbond formation by ligase follow⁴⁰.

MutH endonuclease is also active on unmethylated GATC duplexes. Therefore, in Dam⁻ strains, assembly of the MutHLS complex at a mismatch can result in MutH-mediated cleavage of GATC sites in

both DNA strands⁴². This explains the sensitivity of Dam⁻ mutants to agents that cause mismatches and other DNA lesions recognized by MutS43-46, as well as the dependence of Dam⁻ mutants on recombination and other DNA-repair functions^{4,22}. Another relevant phenotype of Dam⁻ mutants is an elevated frequency of transition mutations, which is consistent with the failure of MutHLS to deal properly with mismatches^{4,22}. Interestingly, the overproduction of Dam methylase results in higher mutation rates than its absence⁴. One explanation is that excess Dam methylase shortens the duration of transient hemimethylation of newly replicated DNA molecules, thereby preventing MutHmediated incisions at hemimethylated GATCs4. It must be noted that the level of Dam methylase is tightly adjusted to growth rate⁴⁷, probably to permit sufficient (but not excessive) delay in the methylation of newly replicated DNA.

Regulation of transposition. In the bacterial transposons IS10 and IS50, Dam methylation represses transposition by two independent mechanisms. First, in the IS10 transposase promoter, methylation of a GATC site that overlaps the -10 module hinders binding of RNA polymerase and inhibits transcription of the transposase gene. Passage of the replication fork renders the GATC transiently hemimethylated, and permits transcription⁴⁸. In an analogous fashion, transcription of the IS50 transposase gene from the P₁ promoter is repressed by methylation of two GATC sites located in the -10 module⁴⁹. Second, in both IS10 and IS50, methvlation of GATCs at the ends of the transposon inhibits transposase activity at these ends48-50. In IS10, only one of the two hemimethylated species is active for transposition⁴⁸, an asymmetry that further reduces the frequency of IS10 transposition and contributes to lowering the mutation rate. An additional advantage of using Dam hemimethylation as a signal is that transposition occurs during DNA replication, and a host cell with two chromosomes is more likely to survive transposition events that affect essential loci. As in every host-pathogen interaction, increased host survival can be viewed as a favourable factor for transposon endurance.

Regulation of phase variation. Phase variation, the reversible generation of variants of surface antigens, is frequent among pathogenic bacteria. Pyelonephritis-associated pili (Pap) of uropathogenic *E. coli* mediate adhesion to the mucosa in the urinary tract⁵¹. Synthesis of Pap pili is turned 'ON' or 'OFF' by a mechanism that gives rise to two populations: one with pili, the other without. The switch rate from ON to OFF is 100-fold higher than from OFF to ON⁵¹. Because pili are highly immunogenic, phase variation might contribute to stealth invasion of the urinary tract. Furthermore, reduction of energetically expensive pili synthesis might have selective value for the population⁵².

Switching of Pap phase variation is controlled at the transcriptional level by a mechanism that involves Dam methylation and the leucine-responsive regulatory protein, Lrp⁵². The upstream-regulatory sequence of the *papBA*

Transition mutation

A nucleotide substitution that changes a purine to a purine $(A\leftrightarrow G)$ or a pyrimidine to a pymiridine (C \leftrightarrow T).

Leucine-responsive regulatory protein

Global regulator of the bacterial cell that regulates gene expression in response to exogenous leucine and other metabolic signals.

Adhesin

Bacterial surface protein that facilitates adhesion to host tissues.

Redox-sensitive regulator

Protein that can exist in two different states in response to the redox potential of the cell.





Figure 3 | Model for switching from phase OFF to phase ON in the pap operon of uropathogenic Escherichia coli. a | In the OFF state, Lrp (green oval) binds to sites 1-3 and prevents methylation of GATC^{prox}. Unmethylated GATCs are shown as blue circles, and methylated GATCs are shown as red circles. Binding of Lrp to sites 1–3 reduces the affinity of Lrp for sites 4–6. **b** | Every replication round offers an opportunity for switching if Papl becomes available. c | In the presence of Papl, Lrp translocates from sites 1-3 to sites 4-6. Lrp binding to GATC^{dist} prevents methylation of GATC^{dist} on the nascent DNA strand, while the unbound GATC^{prox} undergoes methylation. d | If the intracellular concentration of cAMP is high, the global regulator catabolite gene activator protein (CAP) activates papB transcription. **e**–**f** | PapB stimulates *papl* transcription, which creates a positive feedback loop that perpetuates the ON state. Figure modified with permission from REF. 52 © (2002) National Academy of Sciences, USA.

DNA molecules after passage of the replication fork is prevented, and GATC^{prox} becomes unmethylated after two rounds of replication. By contrast, the GATC^{dist} that is located in the unbound site 5 can undergo a normal cycle of hemimethylation/methylation. The high affinity of Lrp for the unmethylated GATC^{prox}, and its inability to bind a methylated GATC^{dist}, creates a feedback loop that propagates the OFF state. Hence, methylation of GATC^{dist} and unmethylation of GATC^{prox} are hallmarks of the OFF state (FIG. 3).

To switch to the ON state, Lrp must be translocated to the cognate binding sites 4–6. One of these binding sites (site 5) contains GATC^{dist}. Translocation requires the ancillary protein PapI, which increases the affinity of Lrp for both sites 4–6 and 1–3, probably by binding to the GATCs in these regions^{52,53}. However, the affinity of PapI/Lrp for sites 4–6 is much higher than for sites 1–3, which tends to move PapI/Lrp to sites 4–6 at low PapI levels. Methylation of GATC^{prox} inhibits binding of PapI/Lrp, thereby facilitating movement of PapI/Lrp to sites 4–6 (REF. 53). Therefore, unmethylation of GATC^{dist} and methylation of GATC^{prox} define the pattern of the ON state⁵². One of the *pap* products, PapB, activates *papI* transcription, thereby creating a positive feedback loop that will propagate the ON state⁵² (FIG. 3).

The model for *pap* regulation proposed by David Low envisages that switching in both directions requires replication, and that the PapI level is a crucial factor for switching⁵³. The PapI level is regulated by hitherto unknown mechanisms, and an appealing hypothesis is that PapI synthesis might be indirectly controlled by the ribosome by regulating PapB expression (D. A. Low, personal communication). Additional regulators of the *pap* operon include the nucleoid protein H-NS⁵² and the RimJ *N*-acetyltransferase⁵⁴, which repress synthesis of Pap pili at low temperature, the cAMP-dependent regulator CRP⁵² and the envelope stress system CpxAR⁵⁵.

In *E. coli*, synthesis of Prf and S pili, which are associated with urinary-tract infections, and Afa, K88 and CS31a pili, which are associated with diarrhoeal infections, is also regulated by Dam and Lrp⁶. In *Salmonella enterica*, synthesis of Pef fimbriae is regulated by a phasevariation mechanism that involves Dam, Lrp, the nucleoid protein H-NS and the σ factor **RpoS**⁵⁶. Therefore, regulation by Dam methylation and Lrp seems to be frequent among fimbrial operons.

In the *E. coli agn43* gene, which encodes a nonfimbrial adhesin that is involved in autoaggregation and biofilm formation, Dam methylation prevents binding of the redox-sensitive regulator **OxyR**, a repressor of *agn43* transcription, to an operator located downstream of the transcription start site^{57,58} (FIG. 4). Dam methylation is therefore an activator of *agn43* transcription. As a consequence, Dam⁻ mutants are locked in the OFF state, and OxyR⁻ mutants are locked in the ON state^{58,59}. The *agn43* operator contains three GATC sites, and methylation of any two sites can block OxyR binding⁵⁷. In turn, OxyR binding to the *agn43* operator competes with Dam methylase and prevents methylation of the operator⁵⁸. Switching from the

Conjugal transfer

Transfer of bacterial DNA on cell-to-cell contact.

F sex factor

Plasmid that is present in certain *Escherichia coli* strains that can transfer chromosomal genes, which led to the discovery of bacterial conjugation.

ON to the OFF state requires OxyR binding to a hemimethylated operator after DNA replication, competing with the hemimethylated GATC-binding protein SeqA⁶⁰. Switching from the OFF to the ON state simply requires a decrease in active (oxidized) OxyR, which leads to operator release; after DNA replication, the *agn43* operator is methylated (FIG. 4). In addition to hindrance of repressor binding, Dam methylation has an additional role in the *agn43* promoter: methylation of the upstream GATC site increases transcription initiation, which occurs precisely at the guanine nucleotide of the GATC⁶¹.

Inheritance of Dam methylation patterns. Early studies envisaged that GATC sites remained hemimethylated for a short time after replication, and the occurrence of unmethylated DNA was viewed as a non-physiological condition^{4,6}. The discovery of SeqA introduced the notion that the duration of hemimethylation at certain GATCs could be extended by sequestration and concomitant hindrance of Dam methylase activity. However, SeqAmediated sequestration is temporary, and the sequestered GATC sites are fully methylated before cell division. By contrast, methylation-blocking proteins such as Lrp and OxyR allow the formation of GATC sites that are stably undermethylated, and inherited as such⁶.

Heritable methylation patterns like those found in *pap* and *agn43* might not be exceptions. The *E. coli* chromosome contains at least 50 sites that are stably hemimethylated or unmethylated (BOX 1), and a subset of these are located in putative regulatory regions⁶. Interestingly, the distribution of undermethylated GATC sites in the *E. coli* genome varies depending on growth conditions, raising the possibility that transduction of environmental or physiological signals can change the methylation state of certain GATCs^{62,63}. DNA demethylases have not been described in bacteria; therefore, active demethylation of GATC sites is unlikely to occur. Current evidence indicates that GATC undermethylation is caused by binding of proteins involved in gene





regulation or nucleoid organization⁶. Local differences in the processivity of Dam methylase, which is influenced by the sequence context of GATC sites, might also contribute to GATC undermethylation⁶⁴.

Regulation of bacterial conjugation. Conjugal transfer of the *Salmonella* virulence plasmid (pSLT) and the F sex factor is repressed by Dam methylation^{65,66}. In pSLT, repression of mating by Dam methylation involves two concerted

Box 1 | Methylation states of GATC sites in the Escherichia coli genome

Methylated

- Found at most GATC sites.
- Signal for activation of dnaA transcription and for DnaA binding to the replication origin (oriC).

Hemimethylated (transient)

- Found at all GATC sites after DNA replication.
- Signal for Dam-dependent mismatch repair and for activation of cell cycle-coupled promoters (for example, tnp IS10).
- Signal for SeqA-mediated nucleoid organization.

Hemimethylated (extended)

- Found at oriC on sequestration by SeqA.
- Prevents chromosome replication and dnaA transcription.
- Signal for nucleoid segregation.

Hemimethylated (stable)

- Created by binding of proteins that prevent Dam methylation in one or more DNA replication rounds.
- An obligate step for switching in pap and agn43. Also found at specific sites on the chromosome.

Unmethylated

• Created by binding of proteins that prevent methylation in two or more DNA replication rounds. At the *pap* regulatory region, created by Lrp. At the *agn43* operator, created by OxyR. Also found at other chromosomal sites.



Figure 5 | **Model for regulation of traJ transcription in the** *Salmonella* virulence plasmid. The transcriptional activator Lrp binds to two cognate sites in the *traJ* upstream activating sequence (UAS). Lrp binding to the downstream site is inhibited by GATC methylation. As a consequence, *traJ* is not transcribed in a non-replicating plasmid. Passage of the replication fork leaves the *traJ* UAS hemimethylated. However, activation of transcription occurs only in one daughter-plasmid DNA molecule, because methylation of the coding strain does not permit formation of the Lrp–DNA activating complex.

actions: transcriptional activation of the *finP* gene, the product of which is a small RNA that inhibits conjugation⁶⁷; and transcriptional repression of the *traJ* gene, which encodes a transcriptional activator of the transfer operon⁶⁸. At the *finP* promoter, Dam methylation prevents transcriptional repression by the nucleoid protein H-NS67. At the traJ promoter, Dam methylation prevents binding of Lrp, an activator of traJ transcription68. The upstream activating sequence (UAS) of traJ contains two Lrp-binding sites (FIG. 5), both of which are necessary for transcriptional activation. One site contains a GATC, and its methylation state affects Lrp binding: GATC methylation reduces Lrp binding, and residual Lrp protein bound to the UAS forms a complex that does not permit promoter activation. By contrast, unmethylated GATC and GATC hemimethylation permit Lrp binding and formation of an activating complex near the -35 module68. This mechanism might regulate conjugal transfer in response to plasmid replication and the presence of sufficient Lrp⁶⁸.

A remarkable trait of *traJ* regulation by Lrp and Dam methylation is that hemimethylated DNA molecules show different properties depending on the location of m6A, in a manner that is reminiscent of IS10 control⁴⁸. When the *traJ* non-coding strand is methylated, Lrp-mediated activation is permitted; when m6A lies on the *traJ* coding strand, activation by Lrp is hindered⁶⁸. Therefore, newly replicated plasmid molecules are in two different epigenetic states — one state permits Lrp binding and subsequent *traJ* synthesis, whereas the other does not. This epigenetic switch might moderate the activation of conjugal transfer, thereby limiting the metabolic and energetic burden placed on the host by the plasmid conjugation apparatus.

Roles in bacterial virulence. Initial evidence for a relationship between Dam methylation and bacterial virulence was provided by the regulation of adhesinencoding genes6,52. However, the effect of dam mutations on the infection of model animals was first reported in Salmonella enterica⁶⁹⁻⁷¹. Dam⁻ mutants of Salmonella are attenuated in the mouse model⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ and present pleiotropic virulence-related defects such as envelope instability with leakage of proteins and release of membrane vesicles72, ectopic expression of the Dam-repressed fimbrial operon *stdABC*, which results in export of highly immunogenic fimbrial proteins (R. Balbontín et al., unpublished results), reduced secretion of the SipC protein translocase encoded on Salmonella pathogenicity island I70, and increased sensitivity to bile^{46,72,73}. The relative contribution of these factors to the avirulence of Salmonella Dam- mutants remains to be established, and additional components might be found. Envelope instability is not found in E. coli Dam⁻ mutants⁷², and this difference might partly explain why Dam methylation is not a major virulence factor in Shigella flexneri, a close relative of E. coli⁷⁴.

Salmonella Dam⁻ mutants persist in the liver, the spleen and the lymph nodes of infected mice^{69,70}. This persistence, combined with loss of cytotoxicity to M (microfold) cells⁷⁰, favours a strong immune response in the infected animal^{71,75}. Use of *dam* mutations, alone or combined with other mutations, might offer unique advantages for the design of *Salmonella*-based live vaccines⁷⁵. An undesirable trait, however, is the increased mutation rate typical of Dam⁻ mutants²².

Inactivation of Dam methylase in *Haemophilus influenzae* causes defects that are similar to those described in *Salmonella*, including avirulence in an animal model and reduced invasion of epithelial cells⁷⁶. Dam⁻ mutants of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* are also attenuated⁷⁷.

In bacterial species in which Dam⁻ mutants are not viable (for example, *V. cholerae* and certain strains of *Yersinia*), overproduction of Dam methylase is tolerated and causes virulence attenuation²³. Dam-overproducing strains of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and *Yersinia enterocolitica* show increased secretion of Yops (Yersinia outer proteins), a group of virulence proteins that are involved in inhibition of phagocytosis and of proinflammatorycytokine release^{78,79}. Overproduction of *E. coli* Dam methylase has also been shown to attenuate virulence in *Pasteurella multocida*⁸⁰.

Transcriptome analysis and proteomic studies have identified *E. coli* and *S. enterica* genes with impaired expression in Dam⁺ and Dam⁻ hosts^{38,81}. In *E coli*, there is evidence that Dam methylation regulates metabolic pathways, respiration and motility in response to environmental cues⁸¹. In *S. enterica*, Dam methylation regulates genes that encode flagellar subunits, Braun lipoprotein and certain fimbriae, as well as genes required for invasion of epithelial cells (R. Balbontín *et al.*, unpublished results). These data fulfil the prediction that Dam methylation regulates the expression of virulence genes in *Salmonella*⁶⁹, and raises the possibility that Dam-regulated genes are also found in other pathogens.

Translocase

A protein involved in the translocation of proteins across membranes, and in the integration of proteins into the cytoplasmic membrane.

Salmonella pathogenicity island I

(SPI-1). Gene cluster of ~40 kb, located on centisome 63 in the *Salmonella* chromosome. The products of SPI-1 are necessary for invasion of epithelial cells.

M (microfold) cell

Cell type located in the Peyer's patches of the small intestine. M cells are involved in antigen transport and interact with *Salmonella* and other bacterial pathogens.



Figure 6 | **Regulation of the Caulobacter cell cycle.** In Caulobacter crescentus, asymmetrical cell division produces a non-replicating swarmer cell and a replicating stalked cell. In the swarmer cell, CtrA binds to the chromosomal origin of replication (*Cori*) and inhibits DNA-replication initiation, whereas CtrA proteolysis allows DNA replication to occur on the methylated *Cori* in the stalked cell. However, activation of *Cori* also requires full methylation (orange boxes), which is mediated by the cell-cycle-regulated methyltransferase (CcrM). Because CcrM is degraded after cell division, passage of the replication fork is not followed by methylation of the daughter DNA strands, and the origin (and most of the chromosome) is hemimethylated (blue and orange boxes) until the late stages of replication, when there is a burst in CcrM expression. The extent of hemimethylation indicates the distance covered by the replication machinery, and can be viewed as a measure of time. DNA-binding proteins (for example, transcription factors) that recognize hemimethylated sites might permit an ordered sequence of events during DNA synthesis. Figure modified with permission from REF. 5 © (1999) American Society for Microbiology.

Lysogen

Bacterial cell that carries a viral genome in a non-infectious, repressed state.

Rolling-circle replication

Mode of DNA replication that uses a circular DNA molecule as a template to produce concatemers of linear DNA molecules.

Headful mechanism

Introduction of DNA into a bacteriophage capsid in such a way that the length of the packaged DNA molecule is determined by the size of the capsid.

Theta replication

Mode of DNA replication that uses a circular DNA molecule as a template to produce two circular DNA molecules. *Bacteriophage infection.* The genomes of certain phages of enteric bacteria have few GATC sites, whereas other phage genomes have a GATC content similar to that found in the bacterial host genome^{82,83}. The scarcity of GATC sites in the genomes of virulent phages might protect against cutting by host MutH⁸³. On the other hand, certain phages have *dam* genes which might contribute to protection against MutH by ensuring that GATC sites are methylated during the lytic cycle⁸³.

Dam methylation regulates transcription of the cre gene of phage P1 (REF. 84) and the mom gene of phage Mu⁸⁵. The mom gene encodes a DNA-modification function that generates N6-carboxy-methyl-adenine86. This unusual modified base protects Mu DNA from various host-controlled restriction systems. Transcription of mom is regulated by Dam methylation in a manner that is reminiscent of agn43: methylation of three closely spaced GATC sites prevents binding of the repressor protein OxyR. In the mom promoter, however, the OxyR-binding region is located upstream of the -35 module⁸⁵. OxyR can bind a hemimethylated mom promoter, and might limit mom expression during lytic phage development⁸⁵. This action might explain why Mom-mediated modification of Mu DNA is more efficient after induction of a lysogen than during an exogenous lytic cycle⁸⁵. Note that DNA methylation of phage DNA is usually incomplete during the lytic cycle, probably because the speed of rolling-circle replication exceeds the capacity of the Dam methylase⁸⁵.

Packaging of P1 DNA into capsids proceeds by a processive headful mechanism that uses concatemeric phage DNA molecules produced by rolling-circle replication. Packaging is initiated at the pac site, a 162-bp sequence that contains seven GATC sites. Protein 9, the P1 packaging enzyme, can only cut pac if most of its GATC sites are methylated⁸⁷. P1 produces its own Dam methylase, and the importance of m6A in P1 infection is indicated by the observation that a P1 Dam⁻ mutant produces only 5% of the normal phage titre on infection of a Dam⁻ host. A tentative explanation is that protein 9, which is the product of an early phage gene, binds hemimethylated pac sites produced by theta replication and protects them from the host Dam methylase⁸⁸. P1 circular molecules with hemimethylated and unmethvlated pac sites are therefore produced. In the second stage of replication (rolling circle) P1 Dam methylase, the product of a late gene, is allowed to methylate only one pac site per concatemer; the other pac sites are protected (but not cut) by protein 9. This mechanism permits headful packaging, and avoids cutting of pac sites inside a concatemer⁸⁸.

The solitary CcrM methylase of α -proteobacteria

Cell-cycle-regulated methyltransferase (CcrM) was discovered in *Caulobacter crescentus*^{89,90}. Unlike Dam, CcrM belongs to the β -group of DNA amino methyltransferases, and shares homology with the *Hinf*I methylase of *H. influenzae*⁵. The target for CcrM is 5'-GANTC-3'. Like Dam, CcrM is highly processive; however, unlike Dam, CcrM is more active on hemimethylated DNA than on unmethylated DNA⁵. CcrM methylation is an essential cell function, and participates in the regulation of the *Caulobacter* cell cycle^{5,89,90}.

CcrM homologues have been found in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*⁵, *Sinorhizobium meliloti* (formerly known as *Rhizobium meliloti*)⁵ and *Brucella abortus*⁹¹. In both *Agrobacterium* and *Sinorhizobium*, CcrM is essential and participates in cell-cycle regulation, indicating that the roles of CcrM methylation might be conserved among α -proteobacteria⁵. CcrM is also essential in *Brucella*, and aberrant CcrM expression impairs the ability of this pathogen to grow inside macrophages⁹¹.

Regulation of the Caulobacter cell cycle. C. crescentus is a dimorphic bacterium with two different cell types: the replicating stalked cell and the non-replicating swarmer cell⁹⁰. These cells differ in morphology and behaviour: the swarmer cell harbours a flagellum and is motile, whereas the stalked cell is non-motile. Cell division yields one swarmer cell and one stalked cell⁹⁰. CcrM methylase is only produced during the late stage of chromosome replication, which occurs only in the stalked cell^{5,90}; the Caulobacter chromosome of stalked cells therefore remains hemimethylated until CcrM is produced. Activation of the Caulobacter replication origin (Cori) requires full methylation; therefore, synthesis of CcrM methylase is a hallmark for completion of one cell cycle, and CcrM-mediated methylation of Cori provides a signal for the initiation of the next replication round⁵ (FIG. 6).

Shortly after cell division, CcrM is degraded in both daughter cells5,90. In the non-dividing swarmer cell, chromosome replication is prevented by CtrA, a global regulator that has an important role in cell-cycle regulation. CtrA binds to the replication origin, which is methylated, and prevents replication initiation⁹⁰. During swarmer-tostalked cell differentiation, CtrA is degraded and remains undetectable until chromosome replication has started. Absence of CcrM prevents methylation after DNA replication and leaves the origin (and most of the chromosome) hemimethylated until the late stages of replication, when a burst in CcrM synthesis occurs. Transcription of the ccrM gene is activated by CtrA, which progressively accumulates in the stalked cell as chromosome replication progresses^{5,90}. However, activation of *ccrM* transcription by CtrA is inhibited by methylation of two GANTC sites located in the leader of the ccrM coding sequence⁵. This inhibition might contribute to delay ccrM transcription until the replication fork reaches ccrM, and might prevent earlier activation by CtrA5,90.

Synthesis of the key cell-cycle regulator CtrA is also regulated by GANTC methylation. One of the two *ctrA* promoters (P_1) contains a GANTC site near its –35 module⁹². Transcription from P_1 is repressed when the GANTC is methylated. Passage of the replication fork renders the promoter hemimethylated and activates transcription. This mechanism might boost *ctrA* gene transcription in response to replication progression. In turn, CtrA accumulation turns on synthesis of the CcrM methylase as soon as the replication fork reaches the *ccrM* gene⁹². This model is supported by elegant genetic evidence: if the *ctrA* gene is moved to an ectopic position near the replication terminus, *ctrA* transcription from the methylation-sensitive P1 promoter remains repressed, and CtrA accumulates more slowly⁹².

m6A in eukaryotic genomes

The many functions performed by m6A in prokaryotic DNA contrast with the paucity of data regarding the role, or even the presence, of m6A in eukaryotes. Indeed, the first attempts to determine the base composition of eukaryotic DNA found m5C as the only methylated base in these genomes93. However, there is now accumulating evidence that indicates the presence of m6A in several lower eukaryotes94. So far, m6A has been detected in the DNA of Penicillium chrysogenum95, the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii 96 and several ciliates such as Oxytricha fallax, Paramecium aurelia, Stylonichia mytilius and Tetrahymena pyriformis⁹⁴. A distinct feature of ciliate protozoa is the existence of a nuclear dimorphism that leads to two kinds of nuclei in the same cell: a germline nucleus (micronucleus) and a polyploid somatic nucleus (macronucleus)⁹⁷. The finding that adenine methylation is restricted to macronuclear DNA has lead to the suggestion that m6A could be involved in the control of deletions and chromosome fragmentation that occur during macronucleus formation⁹⁴. Unfortunately, proteins that interact with m6A in lower eukaryotes have not yet been characterized. Adenine DNA methyltransferase genes have also been reported in Chlorella viruses98. In some cases, these

adenine DNA methyltransferases are associated with cognate restriction enzymes⁹⁹. The existence of viral adenine DNA methyltransferases indicates a potential for horizontal gene transfer among unicellular eukaryotes¹⁰⁰. A systematic study of the phylogenetic distribution of m6A in eukaryotes could determine whether vertical transmission or lateral gene transfer explains the presence of m6A in some protists.

Conclusions and future perspectives

Since the discovery of methylated bases in DNA, studies of DNA methylation have mainly concerned m5C in mammals. Consequently, considerable progress has been made in understanding how DNA methylation affects transcriptional regulation, chromatin structure and genome stability in higher eukaryotes. Less work has been performed on the role of adenine methylation in bacteria. However, an emerging idea is that adenine methylation in bacteria could be the counterpart of cytosine methylation in mammals. This offers an opportunity for the cross-fertilization of ideas between bacterial and mammalian epigenetic research.

The widespread functions of GATC and GANTC methylation in bacteria illustrate both the efficiency and the versatility of m6A as an epigenetic signal for the control of DNA-protein interactions. Methylation patterns at the *pap* operon^{51,52} and the *agn43* gene⁵⁸ illustrate how E. coli lineages can inherit loci in different epigenetic states, a phenomenon reminiscent of genomic imprinting. In turn, differential regulation of IS10 and traJ in sister DNA molecules^{48,68} shows that bacterial DNA molecules in different epigenetic states can coexist in the same cell, similar to mammalian X-chromosome inactivation. These examples show that epigenetic phenomena are not restricted to the kingdom Eukaryota, and outline the utility of m6A as an epigenetic signal. Furthermore, the discovery of CcrM indicates that there might be other solitary adenine methyltransferases in bacteria. They can be expected to be identified when new whole genome sequences become available, and their study will probably provide new insights into the biological roles of m6A.

The use of m6A to control DNA–protein interactions avoids the problem created by the high mutability of m5C, which undergoes high frequencies of deamination, giving rise to thymine¹⁰¹. This might also explain why, in bacterial species that use m6A as an epigenetic signal, solitary m5C methyltransferases do not seem to have been selected to control crucial DNA–protein interactions. For example, no biological function has yet been found for Dcm in *E. coli*⁴. In higher eukaryotes, unrepaired T:G mismatches give rise to CG \rightarrow TA transitions, which are known to be involved in many hereditary diseases and cancer^{8,102}. Therefore, the use of m5C instead of m6A by eukaryotes to control gene expression might be a less fortunate evolutionary event¹⁰³.

The finding that m6A is essential for growth or virulence in certain bacteria^{5,6} raises the possibility of using Dam or CcrM inhibitors as antimicrobial agents⁶⁴. However, the use of such alternative antibiotics requires the absence of m6A in the human genome.

Genomic imprinting

Epigenetic mechanism in diploid organisms by which only one allele (maternal or paternal) is expressed.

X-chromosome inactivation Epigenetic silencing of most

genes in one of the two X chromosomes in somatic cells of mammalian females. The current opinion that mammalian DNA is devoid of m6A is based upon analyses which have a detection limit of about 0.01%; therefore, the presence of thousands of m6As in the human genome cannot be excluded.

Moreover, the recent discovery of an N6-adenine DNA methyltransferase in wheat coleoptiles¹⁰⁴ might stimulate a re-examination of the presence and the potential roles of m6A in higher eukarvotes.

- 1 Cheng, X. Structure and function of DNA methyltransferases. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 24, 293–318 (1995).
- 2 Barbeyron, T., Kean, K. & Forterre, P. DNA adenine methylation of GATC sequences appeared recently in the Escherichia coli lineage. J. Bacteriol. 160, 586-590 (1984).
- Bickle, T. A. & Kruger, D. H. Biology of DNA 3 restriction. Microbiol. Rev. 57, 434-450 (1993). 4
- Marinus, M. G. Methylation of DNA. In Escherichia coli and Salmonella: Cellular and Molecular Biology (eds Neidhardt, F. C. et al.) 782-791 (ASM Press Washington DC, 1996). A comprehensive summary of the first two decades of research on Dam methylation.
- Reisenauer, A., Kahng, L. S., McCollum, S. & Shapiro, L. 5 Bacterial DNA methylation: a cell cycle regulator?
- *J. Bacteriol.* **181**, 5135–5139 (1999). Low, D. A., Weyand, N. J. & Mahan, M. J. Roles of 6 DNA adenine methylation in regulating bacterial gene expression and virulence. Infect. Immun. 69, 7197–7204 (2001). The only published survey of the roles of Dam

methylation in bacterial virulence.

- 7 I øbner-Olesen A. Skovgaard O & Marinus M. Dam methylation: coordinating cellular processes. Curr. Op. Microbiol. 8, 154-160 (2005). An update on the roles of Dam methylation in
- bacteria, including evolutionary aspects. 8 Esteller, M. Aberrant DNA methylation as a cancerinducing mechanism. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.
- 45, 629-656 (2005). Engel, J. D. & von Hippel, P. H. Effects of methylation 9 on the stability of nucleic acid conformation: studies at the polymer level. J. Biol. Chem. 253, 928-934 (1978)
- Diekmann, S. DNA methylation can enhance or induce DNA curvature. EMBO J. 6, 4213-4217 (1987).
- 11 Polaczek, P., Kwan, K. & Campbell, J. L. GATC motifs may alter the conformation of DNA depending on sequence context and N^6 -adenine methylation status: possible implications for DNA-protein recognition. Mol. Gen. Genet. 258, 488–493 (1998).
- Messer, W. & Noyer-Weidner, M. Timing and targeting: 12 the biological functions of Dam methylation in E. coli. *Cell* **54**, 735–737 (1988). Luria, S. E. & Human, M. L. A nonhereditary, host-
- 13 induced variation of bacterial viruses. J. Bacteriol. 64, 557-569 (1952).
- Bertani, G. & Weigle, J. J. Host controlled variation in 14
- bacterial viruses. *J. Bacteriol.* **65**, 113–121 (1953). Arber, W.& Dussoix, D. Host specificity of DNA produced by *Escherichia coli*. I. Host controlled 15 modification of bacteriophage λ. J. Mol. Biol. 5, 18-36 (1962).
- 16. Lacks, S. & Greenberg, B. Complementary specificity of restriction endonucleases of Diplococcus pneumoniae with respect to DNA methylation. J. Mol. . *Biol.* **114**, 153–168 (1977).
- Murray, N. E. Immigration control of DNA in bacteria: 17 self versus non-self. Microbiology 148, 3-20 (2002). A comprehensive review on the biological significance of R-M systems.
- McKane, M. & Milkman, R. Transduction, restriction 18 and recombination patterns in Escherichia coli. Genetics 139, 35-43 (1995).
- Jeltsch, A. Maintenance of species identity and 19. controlling speciation of bacteria: a new function for restriction/modification systems? Gene 317, 13-16 (2003)
- Kobayashi, I. Behavior of restriction-modification systems as selfish mobile elements and their impact on genome evolution. Nucleic Acids Res. 29, 3742-3756 (2001).
- 21 Urig. S. et al. The Escherichia coli Dam DNA methyltransferase modifies DNA in a highly processive reaction. J. Mol. Biol. 319, 1085-1096 (2002).
- 22 Torreblanca, J. & Casadesús, J. DNA adenine methylase mutants of Salmonella typhimurium and a novel Dam-regulated locus. Genetics 144, 15-26 (1996).

- 23. Julio, S. M. et al. DNA adenine methylase is essential for viability and plays a role in the pathogenesis of Yersinia enterocolitica and Vibrio cholerae. Infect. Immun. 69, 7610-7615 (2001).
- Egan. E. S. & Waldor. M. K. Distinct replication requirements for the two Vibrio cholerae chromosomes. Cell 114, 521-530.
- 25 Bove F Løbner-Olesen A & Skarstad K Limiting DNA replication to once and only once. EMBO Rep. 1,479-483 (2000).
- Campbell, J. L. & Kleckner, N. The E. coli oriC and the 26 dnaA gene promoter are sequestered from Dam methyltransferase following the passage of the chromosomal replication fork. Cell 62. 967-979 (1990).
- Lu, M., Campbell, J. L., Boye, E. & Kleckner, N. SeqA: 27 a negative modulator of replication initiation in E. coli. Cell 77, 413-426 (1994).
- 28 von Friesleben, U., Rasmussen, K. V. & Schaechter, M SeqA limits DnaA activity in replication from oriC in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 14, 763-772 (1994).
- Boye, E., Stokke, T., Kleckner, N. & Skarstad, K. 29 Coordinating DNA replication initiation with cell growth: differential roles for DnaA and SeqA proteins. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 93, 12206-12211 (1996).
- Braun, R. W. & Wright, A. DNA methylation differentially enhances the expression of one of the two dnaA promoters in vivo and in vitro. Mol. Gen. Genet. 202. 246-250 (1986).
- Kücherer, C., Lother, H., Kölling, R., Schauzu, M. A. & Messer, W. Regulation of transcription of the 31 chromosomal dnaA gene of Escherichia coli. Mol. Gen. Genet. 205, 115-121 (1986).
- 32 Riber, L. & Løbner-Olesen, A. Coordinated replication and sequestration of oriC and dnaA are required for maintaining once-per-cell-cycle initiation in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 187, 5605–5613 (2005).
- d'Alençon, E et al. Isolation of a new hemimethylated DNA binding protein which regulates dnaA gene expression. J. Bacteriol. 185, 2967-2971 (2003).
- Ogden, G. B., Pratt, M. J. & Schaechter, M. The 34 replicative origin of the E. coli chromosome binds to cell membranes only when heminethylated. Cell 54, 121-135 (1988).
- 35 Herrick, J. et al. Parental strand recognition of the DNA replication origin by the outer membrane in Escherichia coli. EMBO J. 13, 4695–4703 (1994).
- Bach, T., Krekling, M. A. & Skarstad, K. Excess SeqA 36 prolongs sequestration of oriC and delays nucleoid segregation and cell division. EMBO J. 22, 315-323 (2003)
- 37 Brendler. T., Sawitzke, J., Sergueev, K. & Austin, S. A case for sliding SegA tracts at anchored replication forks during Escherichia coli chromosome replication and segregation. EMBO J. 19, 6249-6258 (2000).
- 38. Løbner-Olesen, A., Marinus, M. G. & Hansen, F. G. Role of SegA and Dam in Escherichia coli gene expression: a global/microarray analysis. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 100, 4672-4677 (2003).
- Yamazoe, M., Adachi, S., Kanava, S., Obsumi, K. & 39 Hiraga, S. Sequential binding of SeqA protein to nascent DNA segments at replication forks in synchronized cultures of E. coli. Mol. Microbiol. 55 289-298 (2005)
- Hsieh, T. Molecular mechanisms of DNA mismatch repair. Mutat. Res. 486, 71-87 (2001).
- Burdett, V., Baitinger, C., Visvanathan, C., Lovett, S. & 41. Modrich, P. In vivo requirement for RecJ, ExoVII, Exol, and ExoX in methyl-directed mismatch repair. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 98, 6765-6770 (2001).
- Welsch, K. M., Lu, A. L., Clark, S. & Modrich, P. Isolation and characterization of the E. coli mutH product. J. Biol. Chem. 262, 15624-15629 (1987).
- 43 Glickman, B. W. & Radman, M. Escherichia coli mutator mutants deficient in methylation-instructed DNA mismatch correction. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA **77**, 1063–1067 (1980).
- Fram, R. J., Cusick, P. S., Wilson, J. M. & Marinus, M. G. Mismatch repair of cis-diamminedichloroplatinum(II)-induced DNA damage. Mol. Pharmacol. 28, 51–55 (1985).

- 45 Karran, P. & Marinus, M. G. Mismatch correction at O6-methylguanine residues in E. coli DNA. Nature 296, 868-869 (1982).
- Prieto, A. I., Ramos-Morales, F. & Casadesús, J. Bile-46 induced DNA damage in Salmonella enterica. Genetics 168, 1787-1794 (2004).
- Rasmussen, L. J., Løbner-Olesen, A. & Marinus, M. G. 47 Growth-rate-dependent transcription initiation from the dam P2 promoter. Gene 157, 213-215 (1995).
- Roberts, D., Hoopes, B. C., McClure, W. R. & 48 Kleckner, N. IS10 transposition is regulated by DNA adenine methylation. Cell 43, 117-130 (1985). A classic, elegant study of DNA-protein interactions regulated by m6A.
- Yin, J. C., Krebs, M. P. & Reznikoff, W. S. Effect of Dam 49 methylation on Tn5 transposition. J. Mol. Biol. 199, 35-45 (1988).
- 50 Dodson, K. W. & Berg, D. E. Factors affecting transposition activity of IS50 and Tn5 ends. Gene 76, 207-213 (1989).
- van der Woude, M., Braaten, B. & Low, D. A. Epigenetic phase variation of the pap operon in Escherichia coli. Trends Microbiol. 4, 5–9 (1996).
- 52 Hernday, A., Krabbe, M., Braaten, B. & Low, D. Self-perpetuating epigenetic pili switches in bacteria. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA **99**, 16570–16476 (2002). A detailed description of the molecular mechanisms that control the pap operon, a paradigm among bacterial epigenetic switches.
- 53 Hernday, A. D., Braaten B. A. & Low, D. A. The mechanism by which DNA adenine methylase and Papl activate the Pap epigenetic switch. Mol. Cell 12, 947-957 (2003).
- White-Ziegler, C. A, Black, A. M., Eliades, S. H., 54 Young, S. & Porter, K. The N-acetyltransferase RimJ responds to environmental stimuli to repress pap fimbrial transcription in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 184, 4334-4342 (2002).
- 55 Hernday, A. D., Braaten, B. A., Broitman-Maduro, G., Engelberts, P. & Low, D. A. Regulation of the Pap epigenetic switch by CpxAR: phosphorylated CpxR inhibits transition to the phase ON state by competition with Lrp. *Mol. Cell* **16**, 537–547 (2004).
- Nicholson, B. & Low, D. DNA methylation-dependent regulation of pef expression in Salmonella typhimurium. Mol. Microbiol. 35, 728-742 (2000).
- Waldron, D. E., Owen, P. & Dorman, C. J. Competitive 57 interaction of the OxyR DNA-binding protein and the Dam methylase at the antigen 43 gene regulatory region in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 44, 509-520 (2002).
- Haagmans, W. & van der Woude, M. Phase variation 58 of Ag43 in Escherichia coli: Dam-dependent methylation abrogates OxyR binding and OxyRmediated repression of transcription. Mol. Microbiol. 35, 877-887 (2000).
- 59 Henderson, L. R. & Owen, P. The major phase-variable outer membrane protein of Escherichia coli structurally resembles the immunoglobulin A1 protease class of exported protein and is regulated by a novel mechanism involving Dam and OxyR. J. Bacteriol. 181, 2132-2141 (1999).
- 60 Correnti, J., Munster, M., Chan, T. & van der Woude, M. Dam-dependent phase variation of Ag43 in Escherichia coli is altered in a seqA mutant. Mol. Microbiol. 44, 521-532 (2002).
- Wallecha, A., Munster, V., Correnti, J., Chan, T. & 61. van der Woude, M. Dam- and OxyR-dependent phase variation of agn43: essential elements and evidence for a new role of DNA methylation. J. Bacteriol. 184, 3338-3347 (2002).
- Hale, W. B., van der Woude, M. W. & Low, D. A. 62. Analysis of non-methylated GATC sites in the Escherichia coli chromosome and identification of sites that are differentially methylated in response to environmental stimuli. J. Bacteriol. 176, 3438-3441 (1994).
- van der Woude, M., Hale, W. B. & Low, D. A. Formation 63. of DNA methylation patterns: nonmethylated GATC sequences in gut and pap operons. J. Bacteriol. 180, 5913–5920 (1998).

- Mashhoon, N. et al. Functional characterization of 64 Escherichia coli DNA adenine methyltransferase, a novel target for antibiotics. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 52075-52081 (2004).
- 65 Torreblanca, J., Marqués, S. & Casadesús, J. Synthesis of FinP RNA by plasmids F and pSLT is regulated by DNA adenine methylation. Genetics 152, 31-45 (1999).
- 66 Camacho, E. M. & Casadesús, J. Conjugal transfer of the virulence plasmid of *Salmonella enterica* is regulated by the leucine-responsive regulatory protein and DNA adenine methylation. Mol. Microbiol. 44 1589-1598 (2002).
- Camacho, E. M. et al. Regulation of finP transcription 67 by DNA adenine methylation in the virulence plasmid of Salmonella enterica. J. Bacteriol. **187**, 5691–5699 (2005)
- 68 Camacho, E. M. & Casadesús, J. Regulation of traJ transcription in the Salmonella virulence plasmid by strand-specific DNA adenine hemimethylation. Mol. *Microbiol.* **57**, 1700–1718 (2005).
- Heithoff, D. M., Sinsheimer, R. L., Low, D. A. & Mahan, 69 M. J. An essential role for DNA adenine methylation in
- bacterial virulence. *Science* **284**, 967–970 (1999). García-del Portillo, F., Pucciarelli, M. G. & Casadesús, J. 70 DNA adenine methylase mutants of Salmonella *typhimurium* show defects in protein secretion, cell invasion, and M cell cytotoxicity. Proc. Natl Acad.
- Sci. USA **96**, 11578–11583 (1999). Giacomodonato, M. N., Sarnacki, M. H., Caccuri, R. L., Sordelli, D. O. & Cerquetti, M. C. Host response to a 71 dam mutant of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis with a temperature sensitive phenotype. Infect. Immun. 72, 5498-5501 (2004).
- 72 Pucciarelli, M. G., Prieto, A. I., Casadesús, J. & Garcíadel Portillo, F. Envelope instability in DNA adenine methylase mutants of Salmonella enterica Microbiology 148, 1171–1182 (2002).
- Heithoff, D. M. et al. Salmonella DNA adenine methylase mutants confer cross-protective immunity. Infect. Immun. 69, 6725-6730 (2001).
- Honma, Y., Fernández, R. E. & Maurelli, A. T. A DNA adenine methylase mutant of *Shigella flexneri* shows 74 no significant attenuation of virulence. Microbiology 150, 1073-1078 (2004).
- 75 Dueger, E. L., House, J. K., Heithoff, D. M. & Mahan, M. J. Salmonella DNA adenine methylase mutants elicit early and late onset protective immune responses in calves. Vaccine 21, 3249-3258 (2003).
- Watson, M. E. Jr., Jarisch, J. & Smith, A. L. Inactivation of deoxyadenosine methyltransferase (dam) attenuates Haemophilus influenzae virulence. *Mol. Microbiol.* **53**, 651–654 (2004). Taylor, V. L., Titball, R. W. & Oyston, P. C. F. Oral
- 77 immunization with a *dam* mutant of *Yersinia* pseudotuberculosis protects again plague. Microbiology 151, 1919–1926 (2005)
- Julio, S. M., Heithoff, D. M., Sinsheimer, R. L., Low, D. A. & Mahan, M. J. DNA adenine methylase 78. overproduction in Yersinia pseudotuberculosis alters YopE expression and secretion and host immune responses to infection. Infect. Immun. 70, 1006-1009 (2002).
- Fälker, S., Schmidt, M. A. & Heusipp, G. DNA 79 methylation in Yersinia enterocolitica: role of the DNA adenine methyltransferase in mismatch repair and regulation of virulence factors. Microbiology 151, 2291-2299 (2005).

- Chen, L. et al. Alteration of DNA adenine methylase 80 (Dam) activity in Pasteurella multocida causes increased spontaneous mutation frequency and attenuation in mice. Microbiology 149, 2283-2290 (2003)
- 81 Oshima, T. et al. Genome-wide analysis of deoxyadenosine methyltransferase-mediated control of gene expression in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 45, 673-695 (2002).
- McClelland, M. Selection against *dam* methylation 82 sites in the genomes of DNA of enterobacteriophages. J. Mol. Evol. 21, 317-322 (1985).
- 83 Blaisdell, B. E., Campbell, A. M. & Karlin, S. Similarities and dissimilarities of phage genomes. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 93, 5854–5859 (1996).
- Sternberg, N., Sauer, B., Hoess, R. & Abremski, K. Bacteriophage P1 cre gene and its regulatory region Evidence for multiple promoters and for regulation by Dam methylation. J. Mol. Biol. 187, 197-212 (1986)
- Hattman, S. & Sun, W. Escherichia coli OxyR 85 modulation of bacteriophage Mu mom expression in dam+ cells can be attributed to its ability to bind P promoter DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 4385-4388 (1997)
- 86 Swinton D et al Purification and characterization of the unusual nucleotide, α-N-(9-β-D-2'deoxyribofuranosylpurin-6-yl)glycinamide, specified by the phage Mu modification function. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 80, 7400-7404 (1983).
- Sternberg, N. & Coulby, J. Cleavage of the 87 bacteriophage P1 packaging site (*pac*) is regulated by adenine methylation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **87**, 8070-8074 (1990).
- 88 Yarmolinski, M. B. & Sternberg, N. Bacteriophage P1. In *The Bacteriophages* Vol. 1 (ed. Calendar, R.) 782–791 (Plenum Press, New York, 1988).
- 89 Zweiger, G., Marczynski, G. & Shapiro, L. A Caulobacter DNA methyltransferase that functions only in the predivisional cell. J. Mol. Biol. 235, 472-485 (1994).
- Marczynski, G. T. & Shapiro, L. Control of chromosome replication in *Caulobacter crescentus. Annu. Rev.* 90 Genet. 56, 625-656 (2002). A comprehensive review on the Caulobacter cell
- cycle, including the roles of CcrM methylation. 91 Robertson, G. T. et al. The Brucella abortus CcrM DNA methyltransferase is essential for viability, and its overexpression attenuates intracellular replication in murine macrophages. J. Bacteriol. 182, 3482-3489 (2000).
- 92 Reisenauer, A. & Shapiro, L. DNA methylation affects the cell cycle transcription of the CtrA global regulator in *Caulobacter*. *EMBO J.* **21**, 4969–4977 (2002).
- Vanyushin, B. F., Tkacheva, S. G. & Belozersky, A. N 93 Rare bases in animal DNA. Nature 225, 948-949 (1970)
- 94. Hattman, S. DNA-fadeninel methylation in lower eukaryotes. Biochemistry (Mosc.) 70, 550-558 (2005).

A recent review on the presence of m6A in eukaryotes, the biological importance of which might have been overlooked for decades.

95 Rogers, S. D., Rogers, M. E., Saunders, G. & Holt, G. Isolation of mutants sensitive to 2-aminopurine and alkylating agents and evidence for the role of DNA methylation in Penicillium chrysogenum. Curr. Genet. 10, 557-560 (1986).

- Hattman, S., Kenny, C., Berger, L. & Pratt, K. 96 Comparative study of DNA methylation in three unicellular eucaryotes. J. Bacteriol. 135, 1156-1157 (1978)
- 97 Gutiérrez, J. C., Callejas, S., Borniquel, S. & Martin-González, A. DNA methylation in ciliates: implications in differentiation processes. Int. Microbiol. 3, 139-46 (2000)
- 98 van Etten, J. L. et al. DNA methylation of viruses infecting a eukaryotic Chlorella-like green alga. Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 3471-3478 (1985)
- Zhang, Y., Nelson, M., Nietfeldt, J. W., Burbank, D. E. 99 & Van Etten, J. L. Characterization of Chlorella virus PBCV-1 CviAII restriction and modification system, Nucleic Acids Res. 20, 5351-5356 (1992)
- 100. Gogarten, J. P. & Townsend, J. P. Horizontal gene transfer, genome innovation and evolution. Nature Rev Microbiol 3 679-687 (2005)
- 101. Lutsenko, E. & Bhagwat, A. S. Principal causes of hot spots for cytosine to thymine mutations at sites of cytosine methylation in growing cells. A model, its experimental support, and implications, Mutat, Res. 437, 11-20 (1999).
- 102. Antonarakis, S. E., Krawczak, M. & Cooper, D. N. Disease-causing mutations in the human genome. Eur. J. Pedriatr. 159, 173–178 (2000).
- 103. Poole, A., Penny, D. & Sjoberg, B. M. Confounded cytosine! Tinkering and the evolution of DNA. Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 147-51 (2001).
- 104. Fedoreyeva, L. I. & Vanyushin, B. F. N6-adenine DNAmethyltransferase in wheat seedlings. FEBS Lett. 514, 305-308 (2002).

Acknowledgements

Work in our laboratories is supported by grants from the Lejeune Foundation (to D.W.), and from the Spanish Ministry of Education and the European Regional Fund (to J.C.). We are grateful to D. Low and F. Antequera for helpful discussions. D.W. thanks A. L. Benabid and F. Berger for their support.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

DATABASES

The following terms in this article are linked online to: Entrez Genome: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query. fcgi?db=genom Mu|P1|PSLT

Entrez Genome Project: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ entrez/query.fcgi?db=genomeprj Agrobacterium tumefaciens | Brucella abortus | Caulobacter

crescentus | Chlamydomonas reinhardtii | Escherichia coli | Haemophilus influenzae | Pasteurella multocida | Salmonella enterica | Shigella flexneri | Sinorhizobium meliloti | Vibrio cholerae | Yersinia enterocolitica | Yersinia pseudotuberculosis

UniProtKB: http://ca.expasy.org/sprot CcrM | CRP | CtrA | Dam | Dcm | DnaA | DpnIIA | Hinfl | H-NS | Lrp | MbolA | MutH | MutL | MutS | OxyR | PapB | PapI | RimJ | RpoS | SeqA | UvrD

FURTHER INFORMATION

Josep Casadesús' homepage: http://www.us.es/genbac Access to this interactive links box is free online