# On Banach spaces whose group of isometries acts micro-transitively

#### Sheldon Dantas

CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

Research supported by the project OPVVV CAAS CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16\_019/0000778, Excelentní výzkum

Centrum pokročilých aplikovaných přírodních věd

(Center for Advanced Applied Science)

Work in progress with Cabello, Kadets, Kim, Lee, and Martín December, 2018, Murcia (Spain)

Sheldon Dantas

Micro-transitivity

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ ク へ ()

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 日 ト 4 日 ト 1 日 9 9 9 9</p>

Applications

# Table of contents



- 2 Uniform micro-semitransitivity
- 3 The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property
- 4 The relation
- 5 Applications

Applications

#### Notation

- X, Y are real or complex Banach spaces
- ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}\xspace{1.5ex}{\ensuremath{\, \mathbb K}}$  is the field  ${\ensuremath{\mathbb R}}$  or  ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$
- B<sub>X</sub> is the closed unit ball of X
- $S_X$  is the unit sphere of X
- $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  continuous linear operators from X into Y

• If X = Y, then  $\mathcal{L}(X, X) = \mathcal{L}(X)$ 

•  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  all surjective linear isometries from X to X

Applications

#### Motivation

#### Banach-Mazur rotation problem

Applications

#### Motivation

#### Banach-Mazur rotation problem

*Is every transitive separable Banach space isometrically isomorphic to a Hilbert space?* 

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

## Definitions

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group with neutral element e and T be a Hausdorff topological space.

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Definitions

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group with neutral element e and T be a Hausdorff topological space.

An **action** of G on T is a continuous map

 $G \times T \longrightarrow T$  $(g, x) \longmapsto gx.$ 

such that

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Definitions

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group with neutral element e and T be a Hausdorff topological space.

An **action** of G on T is a continuous map

 $G \times T \longrightarrow T$  $(g, x) \longmapsto gx.$ 

such that

(i)  $ex = x, \forall x \in T$ ,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Definitions

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group with neutral element e and T be a Hausdorff topological space.

An **action** of G on T is a continuous map

 $G \times T \longrightarrow T$  $(g, x) \longmapsto gx.$ 

such that

(i) 
$$ex = x, \forall x \in T$$
,  
(ii)  $g(hx) = (gh)x, \forall g, h \in G, \forall x \in T$ .

Applications

#### Definitions

An action of G on T is

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

#### An action of G on T is

(a) transitive if  $Gx = \{gx : g \in G\} = T$ ,  $\forall x \in T$ .

An action of G on T is

- (a) transitive if  $Gx = \{gx : g \in G\} = T$ ,  $\forall x \in T$ .
- (b) micro-transitive if  $\forall x \in T$  and every neighborhood U of e, Ux is a neighborhood of x in T.

. . . . . .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

An action of G on T is

- (a) transitive if  $Gx = \{gx : g \in G\} = T$ ,  $\forall x \in T$ .
- (b) micro-transitive if  $\forall x \in T$  and every neighborhood U of e, Ux is a neighborhood of x in T.

#### Remarks

# Definitions

An action of G on T is

- (a) transitive if  $Gx = \{gx : g \in G\} = T$ ,  $\forall x \in T$ .
- (b) micro-transitive if  $\forall x \in T$  and every neighborhood U of e, Ux is a neighborhood of x in T.

#### Remarks

(i) If the action is micro-transitive, then the orbits of the elements are open. (F.D. Ancel, 1987)

# Definitions

An action of G on T is

- (a) transitive if  $Gx = \{gx : g \in G\} = T$ ,  $\forall x \in T$ .
- (b) micro-transitive if  $\forall x \in T$  and every neighborhood U of e, Ux is a neighborhood of x in T.

#### Remarks

- (i) If the action is micro-transitive, then the orbits of the elements are open. (F.D. Ancel, 1987)
- (ii) So, they produce a partition of the space T as a disjoint union of open sets.

# Definitions

An action of G on T is

- (a) transitive if  $Gx = \{gx : g \in G\} = T$ ,  $\forall x \in T$ .
- (b) micro-transitive if  $\forall x \in T$  and every neighborhood U of e, Ux is a neighborhood of x in T.

#### Remarks

- (i) If the action is micro-transitive, then the orbits of the elements are open. (F.D. Ancel, 1987)
- (ii) So, they produce a partition of the space T as a disjoint union of open sets.
- (iii) Therefore, if T is connected, micro-transitivity  $\Rightarrow$  transitivity.

In Banach space theory, we usually say that the norm of a Banach space X is **transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts transitively on  $S_X$ .

In Banach space theory, we usually say that the norm of a Banach space X is **transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts transitively on  $S_X$ .

That is, if for every  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is  $S \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that Sx = y.

< ロ > < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > へ ()

In Banach space theory, we usually say that the norm of a Banach space X is **transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts transitively on  $S_X$ .

That is, if for every  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is  $S \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that Sx = y.

(1) Pre-Hilbert spaces are transitive.

# Definitions

In Banach space theory, we usually say that the norm of a Banach space X is **transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts transitively on  $S_X$ .

That is, if for every  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is  $S \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that Sx = y.

- (1) Pre-Hilbert spaces are transitive.
- (2) Banach-Mazur rotation problem: Is every transitive separable Banach space a Hilbert space?

### Definitions

In Banach space theory, we usually say that the norm of a Banach space X is **transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts transitively on  $S_X$ .

That is, if for every  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is  $S \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that Sx = y.

- (1) Pre-Hilbert spaces are transitive.
- (2) Banach-Mazur rotation problem: Is every transitive separable Banach space a Hilbert space?
- (3) There are non-separable L<sub>p</sub> space whose norms are transitive for 1 ≤ p < ∞ (A. Pełczyński and S. Rolewicz, 1962)</p>

### Definitions

In Banach space theory, we usually say that the norm of a Banach space X is **transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts transitively on  $S_X$ .

That is, if for every  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is  $S \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that Sx = y.

- (1) Pre-Hilbert spaces are transitive.
- (2) Banach-Mazur rotation problem: Is every transitive separable Banach space a Hilbert space?
- (3) There are non-separable  $L_p$  space whose norms are transitive for  $1 \le p < \infty$  (A. Pełczyński and S. Rolewicz, 1962)
- (S. Rolewicz, 1985)
- (J. Becerra-Guerrero and A. Rodríguez-Palacios, 2002)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

### Definitions

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is **micro-transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts micro-transitively on  $S_X$ .

Sheldon Dantas

# Definitions

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is **micro-transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts micro-transitively on  $S_X$ .

#### Fact

Let X with a micro-transitive norm. Then, there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfy  $||x - y|| < \beta(\varepsilon)$ , then there is  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that

$$Tx = y$$
 and  $||T - Id_X|| < \varepsilon$ .

- ロ ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト - 9 へ 0

# Definitions

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is **micro-transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts micro-transitively on  $S_X$ .

#### Fact

Let X with a micro-transitive norm. Then, there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfy  $||x - y|| < \beta(\varepsilon)$ , then there is  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that

$$Tx = y$$
 and  $||T - Id_X|| < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0,2)$  and  $U := \{S \in \mathcal{G}(X) : \|S - Id_X\| < \varepsilon\}.$ 

- ロ ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト - 9 へ 0

# Definitions

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is **micro-transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts micro-transitively on  $S_X$ .

#### Fact

Let X with a micro-transitive norm. Then, there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfy  $||x - y|| < \beta(\varepsilon)$ , then there is  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that

$$Tx = y$$
 and  $||T - Id_X|| < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0,2)$  and  $U := \{S \in \mathcal{G}(X) : \|S - Id_X\| < \varepsilon\}$ . Fix  $x_0 \in S_X$ .

## Definitions

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is **micro-transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts micro-transitively on  $S_X$ .

#### Fact

Let X with a micro-transitive norm. Then, there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfy  $||x - y|| < \beta(\varepsilon)$ , then there is  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that

$$Tx = y$$
 and  $||T - Id_X|| < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0,2)$  and  $U := \{S \in \mathcal{G}(X) : ||S - Id_X|| < \varepsilon\}$ . Fix  $x_0 \in S_X$ . Since X is micro-transitivity, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\{x\in S_X: \|x-x_0\|<\delta\}\subset Ux_0.$$

Sheldon Dantas

Micro-transitivity

# Definitions

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is **micro-transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts micro-transitively on  $S_X$ .

#### Fact

Let X with a micro-transitive norm. Then, there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfy  $||x - y|| < \beta(\varepsilon)$ , then there is  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that

$$Tx = y$$
 and  $||T - Id_X|| < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0,2)$  and  $U := \{S \in \mathcal{G}(X) : ||S - Id_X|| < \varepsilon\}$ . Fix  $x_0 \in S_X$ . Since X is micro-transitivity, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\{x\in S_X: \|x-x_0\|<\delta\}\subset Ux_0.$$

Let  $x, y \in S_X$  such that  $||x - y|| < \delta$ . There is  $R \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that  $Rx = x_0$ .

# Definitions

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is **micro-transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts micro-transitively on  $S_X$ .

#### Fact

Let X with a micro-transitive norm. Then, there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfy  $||x - y|| < \beta(\varepsilon)$ , then there is  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that

$$Tx = y$$
 and  $||T - Id_X|| < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0,2)$  and  $U := \{S \in \mathcal{G}(X) : ||S - Id_X|| < \varepsilon\}$ . Fix  $x_0 \in S_X$ . Since X is micro-transitivity, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\{x\in S_X: \|x-x_0\|<\delta\}\subset Ux_0.$$

Let  $x, y \in S_X$  such that  $||x - y|| < \delta$ . There is  $R \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that  $Rx = x_0$ . Then,  $||x_0 - Ry|| < \delta$ .

# Definitions

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is **micro-transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts micro-transitively on  $S_X$ .

#### Fact

Let X with a micro-transitive norm. Then, there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfy  $||x - y|| < \beta(\varepsilon)$ , then there is  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that

$$Tx = y$$
 and  $||T - Id_X|| < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0,2)$  and  $U := \{S \in \mathcal{G}(X) : ||S - Id_X|| < \varepsilon\}$ . Fix  $x_0 \in S_X$ . Since X is micro-transitivity, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\{x\in S_X: \|x-x_0\|<\delta\}\subset Ux_0.$$

Let  $x, y \in S_X$  such that  $||x - y|| < \delta$ . There is  $R \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that  $Rx = x_0$ . Then,  $||x_0 - Ry|| < \delta$ . Then, there is  $S \in U$  such that  $Sx_0 = Ry$ .

# Definitions

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is **micro-transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts micro-transitively on  $S_X$ .

#### Fact

Let X with a micro-transitive norm. Then, there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfy  $||x - y|| < \beta(\varepsilon)$ , then there is  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that

$$Tx = y$$
 and  $||T - Id_X|| < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0,2)$  and  $U := \{S \in \mathcal{G}(X) : ||S - Id_X|| < \varepsilon\}$ . Fix  $x_0 \in S_X$ . Since X is micro-transitivity, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\{x\in S_X: \|x-x_0\|<\delta\}\subset Ux_0.$$

Let  $x, y \in S_X$  such that  $||x - y|| < \delta$ . There is  $R \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that  $Rx = x_0$ . Then,  $||x_0 - Ry|| < \delta$ . Then, there is  $S \in U$  such that  $Sx_0 = Ry$ . Now define  $T := R^{-1} \circ S \circ R \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ .

## Definitions

We say that the norm of a Banach space X is **micro-transitive** if  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  acts micro-transitively on  $S_X$ .

#### Fact

Let X with a micro-transitive norm. Then, there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that if  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfy  $||x - y|| < \beta(\varepsilon)$ , then there is  $T \in \mathcal{G}(X)$  such that

$$Tx = y$$
 and  $||T - Id_X|| < \varepsilon$ .

Hilbert spaces have the above property with  $\beta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ . (see, for example, M. Acosta, M. Mastyło, and M. Soleimani-M., 2018)

Applications

#### Notation

# Uniform micro-semitransitivity

### Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

#### Definition (Uniform micro-semitransitivity)

We say that the norm of X is **uniformly micro-semitransitive** if there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that whenever  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfies

$$\|x-y\| < \beta(\varepsilon),$$

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

#### Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

#### Definition (Uniform micro-semitransitivity)

We say that the norm of X is **uniformly micro-semitransitive** if there is a function  $\beta : (0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that whenever  $x, y \in S_X$  satisfies

$$\|x-y\| < \beta(\varepsilon),$$

then there is  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that

$$Tx = y$$
 and  $||T - Id_X|| < \varepsilon$ .

Sheldon Dantas Micro-tr

Micro-transitivity
Applications

# Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

### Remarks

Sheldon Dantas

# Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

### Remarks

(a) Micro-transitive norms are uniformly micro-semitransitive.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□

# Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

### Remarks

(a) Micro-transitive norms are uniformly micro-semitransitive.

(b) If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then given  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is an isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that Tx = y.

# Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

### Remarks

(a) Micro-transitive norms are uniformly micro-semitransitive.

(b) If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then given  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is an isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that Tx = y.

Indeed, we take  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S_X$  such that

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_n = y \quad \text{and} \quad ||x_{i+1} - x_i|| < \beta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

# Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

### Remarks

(a) Micro-transitive norms are uniformly micro-semitransitive.

(b) If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then given  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is an isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that Tx = y.

Indeed, we take  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S_X$  such that

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_n = y \quad \text{and} \quad ||x_{i+1} - x_i|| < \beta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

There are  $T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with  $||T_i|| = 1$  such that

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

# Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

### Remarks

(a) Micro-transitive norms are uniformly micro-semitransitive.

(b) If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then given  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is an isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that Tx = y.

Indeed, we take  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S_X$  such that

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_n = y \quad \text{and} \quad ||x_{i+1} - x_i|| < \beta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

There are  $T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with  $||T_i|| = 1$  such that

$$T_i(x_i) = x_{i+1}$$
 and  $\|T_i - \operatorname{Id}_X\| < rac{1}{2}$ 

Sheldon Dantas

# Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

### Remarks

(a) Micro-transitive norms are uniformly micro-semitransitive.

(b) If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then given  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is an isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that Tx = y.

Indeed, we take  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S_X$  such that

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_n = y \quad \text{and} \quad ||x_{i+1} - x_i|| < \beta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

There are  $T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with  $\|T_i\| = 1$  such that

$$T_i(x_i) = x_{i+1}$$
 and  $||T_i - Id_X|| < \frac{1}{2}$ 

Now define  $T := T_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_1$ .

# Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

### Remarks

(a) Micro-transitive norms are uniformly micro-semitransitive.

(b) If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then given  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is an isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that Tx = y.

Indeed, we take  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S_X$  such that

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_n = y \quad \text{and} \quad ||x_{i+1} - x_i|| < \beta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

There are  $T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with  $||T_i|| = 1$  such that

$$T_i(x_i) = x_{i+1}$$
 and  $\|T_i - \operatorname{Id}_X\| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now define  $T := T_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_1$ . Then, Tx = y and ||T|| = 1.

Micro-transitivity

Applications

# Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

#### Remarks

(a) Micro-transitive norms are uniformly micro-semitransitive.

(b) If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then given  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is an isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that Tx = y.

(c) For every Banach space X, given  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that Tx = y.

# Uniformly micro-semitransitivity

### Remarks

(a) Micro-transitive norms are uniformly micro-semitransitive.

(b) If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then given  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is an isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that Tx = y.

(c) For every Banach space X, given  $x, y \in S_X$ , there is  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that Tx = y.

(d) If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive and Y is a 1-complemented subspace of X, then Y is uniformly micro- semitransitive.

Applications

# The BPBp

# The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property

# The BPBp

#### Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property (M. Acosta, R. Aron, D. García, and M. Maestre, 2008)

A pair (X, Y) of Banach spaces has the **BPBp** if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with ||T|| = 1 and  $x_0 \in S_X$  satisfy

$$\|T(x_0)\|>1-\eta(\varepsilon),$$

there are  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with  $\|S\| = 1$  and  $x \in S_X$  such that

 $\|S(x)\| = 1$ ,  $\|x_0 - x\| < \varepsilon$ , and  $\|S - T\| < \varepsilon$ .

- ロ ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト - 9 へ 0

# The BPBp

### Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property (M. Acosta, R. Aron, D. García, and M. Maestre, 2008)

A pair (X, Y) of Banach spaces has the **BPBp** if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with ||T|| = 1 and  $x_0 \in S_X$  satisfy

$$\|T(x_0)\|>1-\eta(\varepsilon),$$

there are  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with  $\|S\| = 1$  and  $x \in S_X$  such that

$$\|S(x)\| = 1, \quad \|x_0 - x\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|S - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

(a) (Bollobás, 1963)  $(X, \mathbb{K})$  has the **BPBp**, for all Banach X.

# The BPBp

### Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property (M. Acosta, R. Aron, D. García, and M. Maestre, 2008)

A pair (X, Y) of Banach spaces has the **BPBp** if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with ||T|| = 1 and  $x_0 \in S_X$  satisfy

$$\|T(x_0)\|>1-\eta(\varepsilon),$$

there are  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with  $\|S\| = 1$  and  $x \in S_X$  such that

$$\|S(x)\|=1, \quad \|x_0-x\|<\varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|S-T\|<\varepsilon.$$

(a) (Bollobás, 1963)  $(X, \mathbb{K})$  has the **BPBp**, for all Banach X.

(b) (S.K. Kim and H.J. Lee, 2014) If X is uniformly convex, then (X, Y) has the **BPBp** for all Banach Y.

# The BPBp

# Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property (D., S.K. Kim, and H.J. Lee (2016))

We say that a pair (X, Y) of Banach spaces has the **BPBpp** if given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with ||T|| = 1 and  $x_0 \in S_X$  satisfy

$$\|T(x_0)\| > 1 - \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon),$$

there is  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with  $\|S\| = 1$  such that

$$\|S(x_0)\| = 1$$
 and  $\|S - T\| < \varepsilon$ .

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

# The BPBp

### Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property (D., S.K. Kim, and H.J. Lee (2016))

We say that a pair (X, Y) of Banach spaces has the **BPBpp** if given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with ||T|| = 1 and  $x_0 \in S_X$  satisfy

$$\|T(x_0)\| > 1 - \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon),$$

there is  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with  $\|S\| = 1$  such that

$$\|S(x_0)\| = 1$$
 and  $\|S - T\| < \varepsilon$ .

(a) The pair  $(X, \mathbb{K})$  has the **BPBpp** if and only if X is uniformly smooth.

# The BPBp

### Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property (D., S.K. Kim, and H.J. Lee (2016))

We say that a pair (X, Y) of Banach spaces has the **BPBpp** if given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with ||T|| = 1 and  $x_0 \in S_X$  satisfy

$$\|T(x_0)\| > 1 - \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon),$$

there is  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with  $\|S\| = 1$  such that

$$\|S(x_0)\| = 1$$
 and  $\|S - T\| < \varepsilon$ .

(a) The pair  $(X, \mathbb{K})$  has the **BPBpp** if and only if X is uniformly smooth. (b) If  $\exists Y$  such that (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**, then X is uniformly smooth.

## The BPBp

### (D., V. Kadets, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee, and M. Martín (2018))

(a) If (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**  $\forall Y$ , then X is uniformly convex.

Sheldon Dantas

### The BPBp

### (D., V. Kadets, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee, and M. Martín (2018))

(a) If (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**  $\forall Y$ , then X is uniformly convex. Moreover,

 $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \ge C \varepsilon^q$ 

for suitable  $2 \leq q < \infty$  and C > 0.

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

<ロト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 1 回 9 9 9 9</p>

# The BPBp

### (D., V. Kadets, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee, and M. Martín (2018))

(a) If (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**  $\forall Y$ , then X is uniformly convex. Moreover,

### $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \ge C \varepsilon^q$

for suitable  $2 \le q < \infty$  and C > 0.

(b) If X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space and (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**  $\forall Y$ ,

# The BPBp

### (D., V. Kadets, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee, and M. Martín (2018))

(a) If (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**  $\forall Y$ , then X is uniformly convex. Moreover,

### $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \ge C \varepsilon^q$

for suitable  $2 \le q < \infty$  and C > 0.

(b) If X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space and (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**  $\forall Y$ , then X has an optimal modulus of convexity, that is,

# The BPBp

### (D., V. Kadets, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee, and M. Martín (2018))

(a) If (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**  $\forall Y$ , then X is uniformly convex. Moreover,

 $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \ge C \varepsilon^q$ 

for suitable  $2 \leq q < \infty$  and C > 0.

(b) If X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space and (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**  $\forall Y$ , then X has an optimal modulus of convexity, that is, there exists C > 0 such that

$$\delta_X(\varepsilon) \ge C \, \varepsilon^2 \qquad (0 < \varepsilon < 2).$$

Sheldon Dantas

Micro-transitivity

Applications

# The relation

# The relation

### The relation

#### Proposition 1

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive and (X, Y) has the **BPBp**, then (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**.

・ロト 《日 》 《王 》 《王 》 《 日 》

### The relation

#### Proposition 1

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive and (X, Y) has the **BPBp**, then (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**.

(Hypothesis +  $S := \widetilde{S} \circ R$ )

## The relation

#### Proposition 1

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive and (X, Y) has the **BPBp**, then (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**.

(Hypothesis +  $S := \widetilde{S} \circ R$ )

Corollary 2

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then it is uniformly smooth.

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨー のへで

### The relation

#### Proposition 1

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive and (X, Y) has the **BPBp**, then (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**.

(Hypothesis +  $S := \widetilde{S} \circ R$ )

Corollary 2

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then it is uniformly smooth.

(Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem + Proposition 1)

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

## The relation

#### Proposition 1

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive and (X, Y) has the **BPBp**, then (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**.

(Hypothesis +  $S := \widetilde{S} \circ R$ )

Corollary 2

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then it is uniformly smooth.

(Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem + Proposition 1)

Corollary 3

If X is micro-transitive, then it is uniformly smooth and uniformly convex.

# The relation

#### Proposition 1

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive and (X, Y) has the **BPBp**, then (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**.

(Hypothesis +  $S := \widetilde{S} \circ R$ )

Corollary 2

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then it is uniformly smooth.

(Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem + Proposition 1)

Corollary 3

If X is micro-transitive, then it is uniformly smooth and uniformly convex.

 $(Transitive + superflexive \Rightarrow uniformly convex (Finet, 1986))$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

### Corollary 4



### Corollary 4

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then the following are equivalent:

(a) (X, Y) has the **BPBp** for every Banach space Y.



#### Corollary 4

- (a) (X, Y) has the **BPBp** for every Banach space Y.
- (b) (X, Y) has the **BPBpp** for every Banach space Y.

### Corollary 4

- (a) (X, Y) has the **BPBp** for every Banach space Y.
- (b) (X, Y) has the **BPBpp** for every Banach space Y.
- (c)  $\exists 2 \leq q < \infty$  and C > 0 such that  $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq C \varepsilon^q, \forall 0 < \varepsilon < 2$ .

### Corollary 4

- (a) (X, Y) has the **BPBp** for every Banach space Y.
- (b) (X, Y) has the **BPBpp** for every Banach space Y.
- (c)  $\exists 2 \leq q < \infty$  and C > 0 such that  $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq C \varepsilon^q, \forall 0 < \varepsilon < 2$ .
- (d) X is uniformly convex.

#### Corollary 4

If X is uniformly micro-semitransitive, then the following are equivalent:

- (a) (X, Y) has the **BPBp** for every Banach space Y.
- (b) (X, Y) has the **BPBpp** for every Banach space Y.
- (c)  $\exists 2 \leq q < \infty$  and C > 0 such that  $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq C \varepsilon^q, \forall 0 < \varepsilon < 2$ .

### (d) X is uniformly convex.

If, moreover,  $\boldsymbol{X}$  is isomorphic to a Hilbert space, then the above conditions are indeed equivalent to

(e)  $\exists C > 0$  such that  $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \ge C \varepsilon^2$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ ), where the constant C depends only on the modulus of convexity of X, on the function  $\beta(\cdot)$  of the definition of uniform micro-transitivity, and on the Banach-Mazur distance from X to the Hilbert space.

#### Corollary 5

### If X is micro-transitive, then

- (a) For every Banach space Y, the pair (X, Y) has the **BPBpp**,
- (b) there exists  $2 \le q < \infty$  and C > 0 so that  $\delta_X(\varepsilon) \ge C \varepsilon^q$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ .
- If, moreover, X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space, then

(c) there exists C > 0 such that

$$\delta_X(\varepsilon) \ge C \, \varepsilon^2 \qquad (0 < \varepsilon < 2),$$

where the constant *C* depends only on the modulus of convexity of *X*, on the function  $\beta(\cdot)$  of the definition of uniform micro-transitivity, and on the Banach-Mazur distance from *X* to the Hilbert space.
## Applications

# Applications

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

#### Theorem 6

The norm of  $L_p(\mu)$  is uniformly micro-semitransitive if and only it is a Hilbertian norm. That is, p = 2 or the space is one-dimensional.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = 三 のへ⊙

# Applications

#### Theorem 6

The norm of  $L_p(\mu)$  is uniformly micro-semitransitive if and only it is a Hilbertian norm. That is, p = 2 or the space is one-dimensional.

#### Corollary 7

The norm of  $L_p(\mu)$  is micro-transitive if and only if it is Hilbertian norm.

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

## Applications

#### Theorem 6

The norm of  $L_p(\mu)$  is uniformly micro-semitransitive if and only it is a Hilbertian norm. That is, p = 2 or the space is one-dimensional.

#### Corollary 7

The norm of  $L_p(\mu)$  is micro-transitive if and only if it is Hilbertian norm.

**Remark**: For  $1 \le p < \infty$ , there are (non-separable)  $L_p$ -spaces whose standard norms are transitive but **they are not** micro-transitive unless p = 2.

## Applications

#### Proof of Theorem 6

#### Proof of Theorem 6

For  $1 and <math>p \neq 2$ , the norm of  $\ell_p^2$  is not uniformly microsemitransitive (in particular, it is not micro-transitive).

<ロト < 団ト < 巨ト < 巨ト < 巨ト 三 のへで</p>

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Applications

#### Proof of Theorem 6

For  $1 and <math>p \neq 2$ , the norm of  $\ell_p^2$  is not uniformly microsemitransitive (in particular, it is not micro-transitive).

Indeed,

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

#### Proof of Theorem 6

For  $1 and <math>p \neq 2$ , the norm of  $\ell_p^2$  is not uniformly microsemitransitive (in particular, it is not micro-transitive).

Indeed, for p > 2,

 $\neg \Box \rangle \neg d P \land \neg E \rangle \land E \rangle \neg E \neg Q \bigcirc$ 

## Applications

#### Proof of Theorem 6

For  $1 and <math>p \neq 2$ , the norm of  $\ell_p^2$  is not uniformly microsemitransitive (in particular, it is not micro-transitive).

Indeed, for p > 2, there is **no** isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that

$$T(e_1) = \left(\frac{1}{2^{1/p}}, \frac{1}{2^{1/p}}\right).$$

## Applications

#### Proof of Theorem 6

For  $1 and <math>p \neq 2$ , the norm of  $\ell_p^2$  is not uniformly microsemitransitive (in particular, it is not micro-transitive).

Indeed, for p > 2, there is **no** isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that

$$T(e_1) = \left(\frac{1}{2^{1/p}}, \frac{1}{2^{1/p}}\right).$$

And for 1 ,

## Applications

#### Proof of Theorem 6

For  $1 and <math>p \neq 2$ , the norm of  $\ell_p^2$  is not uniformly microsemitransitive (in particular, it is not micro-transitive).

Indeed, for p > 2, there is **no** isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that

$$T(e_1) = \left(\frac{1}{2^{1/p}}, \frac{1}{2^{1/p}}\right).$$

And for 1 , there is**no** $isomorphism <math>T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$  such that

$$T\left(\frac{1}{2^{1/p}},\frac{1}{2^{1/p}}\right)=e_1.$$

Sheldon Dantas

Micro-transitivity

## Applications

#### Proof of Theorem 6

For  $1 and <math>p \neq 2$ , the norm of  $\ell_p^2$  is not uniformly microsemitransitive (in particular, it is not micro-transitive).

Indeed, for p > 2, there is **no** isomorphism  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$  with ||T|| = 1 such that

$$T(e_1) = \left(\frac{1}{2^{1/p}}, \frac{1}{2^{1/p}}\right).$$

And for 1 , there is**no** $isomorphism <math>T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_p^2)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$  such that

$$T\left(\frac{1}{2^{1/p}},\frac{1}{2^{1/p}}\right)=e_1.$$

Since  $\ell_p^2$  is always 1-complemented in  $L_p(\mu)$ , we are done.

<ロト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 1 回 9 9 9 9</p>

## Applications

#### Theorem 8

(a) Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space. If X is uniformly microsemitransitive, then so is  $X^*$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Applications

#### Theorem 8

(a) Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space. If X is uniformly microsemitransitive, then so is  $X^*$ .

(b) If X is micro-transitive, then so is  $X^*$ .

### Questions

#### Applications

(a) Micro-transitivity  $\Rightarrow$  Hilbert?

Actually,

Sheldon Dantas Mid

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

### Questions

#### Applications

(a) Micro-transitivity  $\Rightarrow$  Hilbert?

Actually,

(b) Uniformly micro-semitransitivity  $\Rightarrow$  Hilbert?

Sheldon Dantas Micro-transitivity

Theorem 9

Suppose that

(a) X is uniformly convex.

・ロト・< 言ト< 言・< 言・< らへの</li>

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

## Applications

#### Theorem 9

Suppose that

- (a) X is uniformly convex.
- (b) the norm of X is uniformly micro-semitransitive.

## Applications

#### Theorem 9

Suppose that

- (a) X is uniformly convex.
- (b) the norm of X is uniformly micro-semitransitive.
- (c) there is a function  $\beta$ :  $(0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that the norm of 2dimensional subspaces of X and all 2-dimensional quotients of X is uniformly micro-semitransitive witnessed by this function.

## Applications

#### Theorem 9

Suppose that

- (a) X is uniformly convex.
- (b) the norm of X is uniformly micro-semitransitive.
- (c) there is a function  $\beta$ :  $(0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that the norm of 2dimensional subspaces of X and all 2-dimensional quotients of X is uniformly micro-semitransitive witnessed by this function.

Then, X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

## Applications

#### Theorem 9

Suppose that

- (a) X is uniformly convex.
- (b) the norm of X is uniformly micro-semitransitive.
- (c) there is a function  $\beta$ :  $(0,2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that the norm of 2dimensional subspaces of X and all 2-dimensional quotients of X is uniformly micro-semitransitive witnessed by this function.

Then, X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

*Every space that is of type 2 and cotype 2 is isomorphic to a Hilbert space (S. Kwapień, 1972)* 

# Thank you for your attention