

# On subspaces of distributions which are $\Delta^m$ -invariant

J. M. Almira

**Dedicated to the memory of Prof. Bernardo Cascales**

Universidad de Murcia

XVI Encuentros Murcia-Valencia

December, 2018

[jmalmira@um.es](mailto:jmalmira@um.es)

## Theorem (Jacobi, 1834)

*The following claims hold true:*

- (a) *A meromorphic function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  is constant if and only if it solves a system of functional equations of the form*

$$\Delta_{h_1}f(z) = \Delta_{h_2}f(z) = \Delta_{h_3}f(z) = 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})$$

*for three independent “periods”  $\{h_1, h_2, h_3\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .*

- (b) *There exist non-constant meromorphic functions  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  with two independent periods  
(These are the so called “elliptic functions”, which are extremely important in Complex Function Theory).*

**Note:**  $\{h_1, h_2, h_3\}$  are independent if  $h_i \notin h_j\mathbb{Z} + h_k\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $(i, j, k)$  such that  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

# Montel's theorem

## Theorem (P. Montel, 1937)

If  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an analytic function satisfying

$$\Delta_{h_1}^m f(z) = \Delta_{h_2}^m f(z) = \Delta_{h_3}^m f(z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}$$

for three independent “periods”  $\{h_1, h_2, h_3\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  Then

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_{m-1} z^{m-1}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and certain complex numbers  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}$ .

## Theorem (P. Montel, 1937)

If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous function satisfying

$$\Delta_{h_1}^m f(t) = \Delta_{h_2}^m f(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and certain  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $h_1/h_2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , then

$$f(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_{m-1} t^{m-1}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and certain complex numbers  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}$ .

**It is important, for our talk, to note that Montel's Theorem claims that certain properties which hold true for  $\Delta_h$  can be proved for the operators  $\Delta_h^m$ .**

# Anselone-Korevaar's Theorem

$X$  denotes either the space of continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  or the space of complex valued Schwartz distributions.

**Definition (Translation operator  $\tau_h : X \rightarrow X$ )**

$\tau_h(f)(t) = f(t + h)$  if  $f$  is an ordinary function and

$\tau_h(f)\{\phi\} = f\{\tau_{-h}(\phi)\}$  if  $f$  is a distribution and  $\phi$  is a test function.

**Definition**

A subspace  $V$  of  $X$  is translation invariant if for all  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  we have that

$\tau_h(V) \subseteq V$ .

# Anselone-Korevaar's Theorem

Theorem (P. M. Anselone, J. Korevaar)

*Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$  which is translation invariant. Then  $V$  is the space of solutions of some homogeneous linear differential equation with constant coefficients*

$$x^{(n)} + a_1x^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_{n-1}x' + a_nx = 0$$

(here  $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

These spaces are generated by a set of monomials of the form

$$t^{k-1}e^{\lambda t}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m(\lambda) \text{ and } \lambda \in \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s\} \subset \mathbb{C}, \quad (0.1)$$

so that their elements are exponential polynomials. We assume, by convention, that  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and that  $m(\lambda_0) = 0$  means that this set does not contain elements of the form  $t^k$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Anselone-Korevaar's Theorem

Theorem (P. M. Anselone, J. Korevaar)

Assume that one of the following conditions hold true:

- (a)  $V$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$  and  $\tau_{h_1}(V) \subseteq V$ ,  
 $\tau_{h_2}(V) \subseteq V$  for certain non-zero real numbers  $h_1, h_2$  such that  
 $h_1/h_2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$
- (b)  $V$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $\mathbf{C}(0, \infty)$  and  $\tau_{h_k}(V) \subseteq V$   
for an infinite sequence of positive real numbers  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  which  
converges to zero,

Then  $V$  admits a basis of the form

$$t^{k-1}e^{\lambda t}, k = 1, \dots, m(\lambda) \text{ and } \lambda \in \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s\} \subset \mathbb{C},$$

**Note.**  $\Delta_h = \tau_h - I$ . Hence

$V$  is  $\tau_h$ -invariant if and only if it is  $\Delta_h$ -invariant.



## Question:

What about the finite dimensional  $\Delta_h^m$ -invariant subspaces of  $X$ ?

## Note

In general, if  $L : X \rightarrow X$  is a linear operator, the inclusion  $L^m(V) \subseteq V$  may be not related to  $L(V) \subseteq V$ .

For example, if

$$L \neq \lambda I \text{ for any } \lambda \text{ but } L^m \in \{0, I\}$$

then:

- All subspaces of  $X$  are  $L^m$ -invariant
- There exists  $v_0 \in X$  such that  $L(v_0) \notin \mathbf{span}\{v_0\}$ . Hence  $V = \mathbf{span}\{v_0\}$  is not  $L$ -invariant.

I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, L. Rodman

Invariant subspaces of matrices with applications, Classic in Applied Mathematics **51** SIAM, 2006.

## Definition

Given  $T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  a linear transformation and  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , we define the root subspace associated to  $\lambda$  and  $T$  by the formula

$$R_\lambda(T) = \ker(T - \lambda I)^n.$$

## Theorem (Characterization of invariant subspaces)

Let  $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_t\}$  be all (pairwise distinct) eigenvalues of  $T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ . The subspace  $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is  $T$ -invariant if and only if

$$V = (V \cap R_{\lambda_0}(T)) \oplus (V \cap R_{\lambda_1}(T)) \oplus \dots \oplus (V \cap R_{\lambda_t}(T))$$

and each subspace  $V_i = (V \cap R_{\lambda_i}(T))$  is  $T$ -invariant.

## Lemma (Important example)

Let  $E$  be a vector space with basis  $\beta = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and let  $m \geq 1$  be a natural number. Assume that  $T : E \rightarrow E$  satisfies

$$A = M_\beta(T) = \lambda I + B,$$

where  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $B$  is strictly upper triangular with nonzero entries in the first superdiagonal. Then:

- (a) The full list of  $T$ -invariant subspaces of  $E$  is given by  $V_0 = \{0\}$  and  $V_k = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (b)  $T^m$  has the same invariant subspaces as  $T$ .

# Invariant subspaces of linear operators defined on $\mathbb{C}^n$

Let us prove (a): Assume  $T(V) \subset V$  and  $v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_s v_s \in V$  with  $a_s \neq 0$ . Then  $w = Tv - \lambda v \in V$ . Moreover,

$$w = \alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{s-1} v_{s-1} \text{ with } \alpha_{s-1} = b_{s-1,s} a_s \neq 0, \text{ where } B = (b_{i,j})$$

Hence:

If  $V$  is  $T$ -invariant and  $v = a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_s v_s \in V$  with  $a_s \neq 0$ , then

$$\mathbf{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_s\} \subseteq V.$$

Take

$$k_0 = \max\{k : \exists v \in V, v = a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_k v_k, a_k \neq 0\}$$

Then  $V = \mathbf{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k_0}\}$ .

Moreover, it is evident that all spaces  $V_k = \mathbf{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  are  $T$ -invariant.

# Invariant subspaces of linear operators defined on $\mathbb{C}^n$

To demonstrate (b) we take into account that  $M_\beta(T^m) = A^m$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned}M_\beta(T^m) &= A^m \\&= (\lambda I + B)^m \\&= \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \lambda^{m-k} B^k \\&= \lambda^m I + m\lambda^{m-1} B + \sum_{k=2}^m \binom{m}{k} \lambda^{m-k} B^k \\&= \lambda^m I + C\end{aligned}$$

with  $C = m\lambda^{m-1}B + \sum_{k=2}^m \binom{m}{k} \lambda^{m-k} B^k$  strictly upper triangular with nonzero entries in the first upperdiagonal (that came from  $m\lambda^{m-1}B$ , with  $\lambda \neq 0$ ) Hence we can apply (a) to  $T^m$  and both operators share the same invariant subspaces. This ends the proof.

# Finite dimensional $\Delta^m$ -invariant subspaces are formed by exponential polynomials

## Theorem

*Assume that*

- *$V$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$*
- *$\Delta_{h_1}^m(V) \subseteq V, \Delta_{h_2}^m(V) \subseteq V$  for certain non-zero real numbers  $h_1, h_2$  such that  $h_1/h_2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Then there exists a finite dimensional subspace  $W$  of  $X$  which is invariant by translations and contains  $V$ .*

*Consequently, all elements of  $V$  are exponential polynomials.*

# Finite dimensional $\Delta^m$ -invariant subspaces are formed by exponential polynomials

## Lemma

*Let  $E$  be a vector space and  $L : E \rightarrow E$  be a linear operator defined on  $E$ . If  $V \subset E$  is an  $L^m$ -invariant subspace of  $E$ , then the space*

$$\square_L^m(V) = V + L(V) + L^2(V) + \cdots + L^m(V)$$

*is  $L$ -invariant. Furthermore,  $\square_L^m(V)$  is the smallest  $L$ -invariant subspace of  $E$  containing  $V$ .*

# Finite dimensional $\Delta^m$ -invariant subspaces are formed by exponential polynomials

## Proof :

The linearity of  $L$  implies that

$$L(\square_L^m(V)) = L(V) + L^2(V) + L^3(V) + \cdots + L^m(V) + L^{m+1}(V).$$

Now,  $L^{m+1}(V) = L(L^m(V)) \subseteq L(V)$  and  $L(V) + L(V) = L(V)$ , so that  $L(\square_L^m(V)) \subseteq \square_L^m(V)$ .

On the other hand, let us assume that  $V \subseteq F \subseteq E$  and  $F$  is an  $L$ -invariant subspace of  $E$ . If  $\{v_k\}_{k=0}^m \subseteq V$ , then  $L^k(v_k) \in F$  for all  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ , so that  $v_0 + L(v_1) + \cdots + L^m(v_m) \in F$ . This proves that  $\square_L^m(V) \subseteq F$ .



# Finite dimensional $\Delta^m$ -invariant subspaces are formed by exponential polynomials

## Lemma

*Let  $E$  be a vector space and  $L, S : E \rightarrow E$  be two linear operators defined on  $E$ . Assume that  $LS = SL$ . If  $V \subset E$  is a vector subspace of  $E$  which satisfies  $L^m(V) \cup S^m(V) \subseteq V$ , then*

$$S^m(\square_L^m(V)) \subseteq \square_L^m(V).$$

*Consequently, the space*

$$\diamond_{L,S}^m(V) = \square_S^m(\square_L^m(V))$$

*is  $L$ -invariant,  $S$ -invariant, and contains  $V$ .*

# Finite dimensional $\Delta^m$ -invariant subspaces are formed by exponential polynomials

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} S^m(\square_L^m(V)) &= S^m(V + L(V) + L^2(V) + \cdots + L^m(V)) \\ &= S^m(V) + L(S^m(V)) + L^2(S^m(V)) + \cdots + L^m(S^m(V)) \\ &\subseteq V + L(V) + L^2(V) + \cdots + L^m(V) = \square_L^m(V), \end{aligned}$$

since  $S, L$  commute. This proves that  $\square_L^m(V)$  is  $S^m$ -invariant, and Lemma above implies that  $\diamond_{L,S}^m(V) = \square_S^m(\square_L^m(V))$  is  $S$ -invariant.

$$\begin{aligned} L(\diamond_{L,S}^m(V)) &= L(\square_L^m(V) + S(\square_L^m(V)) + \cdots + S^m(\square_L^m(V))) \\ &= L(\square_L^m(V)) + S(L(\square_L^m(V))) + \cdots + S^m(L(\square_L^m(V))) \\ &\subseteq \square_L^m(V) + S(\square_L^m(V)) + \cdots + S^m(\square_L^m(V)) = \diamond_{L,S}^m(V), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\diamond_{L,S}^m(V)$  is  $L$ -invariant. Finally,  $V \subseteq \square_L^m(V) \subseteq \diamond_{L,S}^m(V)$ .

# Finite dimensional $\Delta^m$ -invariant subspaces are formed by exponential polynomials

## Finite dimensional $\Delta^m$ -invariant subspaces are formed by exponential polynomials: The proof

- We apply the second Lemma with  $E = X$ ,  $L = \Delta_{h_1}$  and  $S = \Delta_{h_2}$  to conclude that  $V \subseteq W = \diamond_{\Delta_{h_1}, \Delta_{h_2}}^m(V)$  and  $W$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$  satisfying  $\Delta_{h_i}(W) \subseteq W$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .
- Hence we can apply Anselone-Korevaar's Theorem to  $W$  and conclude that this space admits an algebraic basis of the form

$$t^{k-1} e^{\lambda t}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m(\lambda) \text{ and } \lambda \in \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s\} \subset \mathbb{C},$$

- In particular, all elements of  $V$  are exponential polynomials.

## Corollary (Montel's Theorem for distributions)

*Assume that  $f$  is a complex valued distribution such that  $\Delta_{h_1}^m f = \Delta_{h_2}^m f = 0$  for certain non-zero real numbers  $h_1, h_2$  such that  $h_1/h_2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $f$  is an ordinary polynomial of degree  $\leq m - 1$ .*

# Application: Montel's Theorem for distributions

Assume that  $\Delta_{h_1}^m f = \Delta_{h_2}^m f = 0$ . Then  $V = \mathbf{span}\{f\}$  is a 1-dimensional space of complex valued distributions and:

$$\Delta_{h_1}^m(V) = \Delta_{h_2}^m(V) = \{0\} \subseteq V$$

Hence all elements of  $V$  are exponential polynomials. In particular,  $f$  is an exponential polynomial,

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m(0)-1} a_{0,k} t^k + \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{k=0}^{m(\lambda_i)-1} a_{i,k} t^k e^{\lambda_i t}$$

and we can assume that  $m(0) \geq m$  with no loss of generality.

# Application: Montel's Theorem for distributions

Let

$$\beta = \{t^{k-1}e^{\lambda_i t}, k = 1, \dots, m(\lambda_i) \text{ and } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

and  $E = \mathbf{span}\{\beta\}$  be such that  $V \subseteq E$ .

Let us consider the linear map  $\Delta_h : E \rightarrow E$  induced by the operator  $\Delta_h$  when restricted to  $E$ .

# Application: Montel's Theorem for distributions

The matrix associated to this operator with respect to the basis  $\beta$  is block diagonal,  $A = \mathbf{diag}[A_0, A_1, \dots, A_s]$ , with

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & h & h^2 & \dots & h^{m(0)-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 2h & \dots & \binom{m(0)-1}{2} h^{m(0)-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \binom{m(0)-1}{m(0)-2} h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$A_i = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_i h} - 1 & h e^{\lambda_i h} & h^2 e^{\lambda_i h} & \dots & h^{m(i)-1} e^{\lambda_i h} \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_i h} - 1 & 2h e^{\lambda_i h} & \dots & \binom{m(i)-1}{2} h^{m(i)-2} e^{\lambda_i h} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \binom{m(i)-1}{m(i)-2} h e^{\lambda_i h} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{\lambda_i h} - 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ .

# Application: Montel's Theorem for distributions

It follows that the matrix associated to  $(\Delta_h^m)|_E$  with respect to the basis  $\beta$  is given by  $A^m = \mathbf{diag}[A_0^m, A_1^m, \dots, A_s^m]$ . Obviously, the matrices  $A_i^m$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ) are invertible since the corresponding  $A_i$  are so. On the other hand,  $\mathbf{rank}(A_0^m) = m(0) - m$  and

$$\mathbf{ker}(A_0^m) = \mathbf{span}\{(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1^{(i\text{-th position})}, 0, \dots, 0) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{rank}(A^m) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} E - m$ , so that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{ker}(A^m) = m$ . On the other hand, a simple computation shows that the space of ordinary polynomials of degree  $\leq m - 1$ , which we denote by  $\Pi_{m-1}$ , is contained into  $\mathbf{ker}(\Delta_h^m)$ . Hence  $\mathbf{ker}(\Delta_h^m) = \Pi_{m-1}$ , since both spaces have the same dimension. This, in conjunction with  $f \in \mathbf{ker}(\Delta_h^m)$ , ends the proof.



## Theorem

*Assume that  $V$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$  which satisfies  $\Delta_h^m(V) \subseteq V$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exist vector spaces  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}[t]$  and  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbf{C}(\mathbb{R})$  such that*

$$V = \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{E}$$

*and  $\mathcal{E}$  is invariant by translations. Consequently,*

*$V$  is invariant by translations if and only if  $\mathcal{P}$  is so.*

**Proof:** We use the Characterization of invariant subspaces of any linear operator based on root subspaces.

We have already shown that  $V \subseteq E$  with  $E = \mathbf{span}\{\beta\}$  as above, and that

$$M_\beta((\Delta_h)|_E) = \mathbf{diag}[A_0, A_1, \dots, A_s]$$

with matrices  $A_i$  as shown in the previous slides. In particular,

$$M_\beta((\Delta_h^m)|_E) = \mathbf{diag}[A_0^m, A_1^m, \dots, A_s^m]$$

# $\Delta^m$ -invariant subspaces: structure

An easy computation shows that:

$$R_0((\Delta_h^m)|_E) = \Pi_{m(0)-1} = \mathbf{span}\{t^k\}_{k=0}^{m(0)-1}$$

and

$$R_{(e^{\lambda_i h} - 1)^m}((\Delta_h^m)|_E) = \Pi_{m(0)-1} = \mathbf{span}\{t^k e^{\lambda_i t}\}_{k=0}^{m(\lambda_i)-1} =: E_i$$

Hence  $V$  is  $\Delta_h^m$ -invariant if and only if

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s$$

with  $V_0 \subseteq \Pi_{m(0)-1}$  and  $V_i \subset E_i$   $\Delta_h^m$ -invariant subspaces (for all  $i$ ).

Now:

- $\beta_i = \{t^k e^{\lambda_i t}\}_{k=0}^{m(\lambda_i)-1}$  is a basis of  $E_i$  and  $M_{\beta_i}((\Delta_h)|_{E_i}) = A_i$ , which is a matrix of the special form  $\lambda I + B$  that we studied in Lemma (Important Example).
- Hence  $V_i \subseteq E_i$  is  $\Delta_h^m$ -invariant if and only if it is  $\Delta_h$ -invariant.
- This proves the result with  $\mathcal{P} = V_0$  and  $\mathcal{E} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s$ .

## Theorem ( $\Delta^m$ -invariant subspaces of $C(0, \infty)$ )

*Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional subspace of the space of continuous complex valued functions defined on the semi-infinite interval  $(0, \infty)$  and assume that  $\Delta_{h_k}^m(V) \subseteq V$  for an infinite sequence of positive real numbers  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  which converges to zero. Then all elements of  $V$  are exponential polynomials.*

## Theorem

*Assume that  $V$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Delta_h^m(V) \subseteq V$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (ii)  $\Delta_{h_1 h_2 \dots h_m}(V) \subseteq V$  for all  $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

## Theorem

Given  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous, consider the space:

$$W(\sigma) = \mathbf{span}\{\sigma(w \cdot x^t + b) : w \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|w\|_2 = 1 \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where  $a \cdot b^t = \sum_{k=1}^d a_k b_k$  is the dot product of vectors. There are exactly two possibilities: Either

- $\sigma$  is an ordinary polynomial (In which case  $\dim W(\sigma) < \infty$ ).

or

- $W(\sigma)$  is a dense subset of  $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

There is a similar result substituting  $\sigma$  by a function of several variables and  $W(\sigma)$  by

$$\Gamma(\sigma) = \mathbf{span}\{\sigma(w \circ x + b) : b, w \in \mathbb{R}^d\},$$

where  $a \circ b = (a_1b_1, a_2b_2, \dots, a_db_d)$  is the componentwise product of the vectors.

In that case, either

- $\dim \Gamma(\sigma) < \infty$  (and  $\sigma$  is a polynomial in  $d$  variables)

or

- $\Gamma(\sigma)$  is dense in  $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .



- Many of the results above hold true in several variables setting. In particular, this is so for Montel's Theorem and for the Characterizations of  $\Delta_h^m$ -invariant subspaces of continuous functions and distributions.
- On the other hand, our proof that  $\Delta_h^m$ -invariant and  $\Delta_{h_1 h_2 \dots h_m}$ -invariant finite dimensional subspaces are the same, does not apply for the multivariate setting (and it is still open question to know the corresponding result)

The paper:

M. Engert

Finite dimensional translation invariant subspaces, Pacific J. Math. 32 (2) (1970) 333-343

contains an analogous result to Anselone-Korevaar's theorem for the case of measurable functions on  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact abelian groups.

- Do the results of this talk hold true for measurable functions?  
(We conjecture: No.  
In any case, the proofs should be different!!)

# Some open problems

- What about the questions of this talk if formulated for Lie Groups? (Here the functions can be of the form  $f : (G, *) \rightarrow (H, \circ)$  and the associated operators are  $\tau_h g(x) = g(x * h)$ ,  $\Delta_h g(x) = g(x * h) \circ g(x)^{-1}$ ).
- It would be of interest to study spaces of functions which are invariant under certain geometrically motivated operators. For example, given  $N > 0$ , we can consider the operators

$$L_h(f)(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(z + w^k h),$$

with  $w$  any primitive  $N$ -th root of 1.

- Is there any natural way to characterize the spaces of solutions of linear EDO's  $x'(t) = A(t)x(t)$  with  $A(t)$  periodic matrix function?

- Is it possible to prove a Montel's type theorem for Popoviciu's functional equation?

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} f(x) & f(x+h) & \cdots & f(x+nh) \\ f(x+h) & f(x+2h) & \cdots & f(x+(n+1)h) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f(x+nh) & f(x+(n+1)h) & \cdots & f(x+2nh) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

By now... This is the End

**Thank you for your attention!!**